

Jackson McDonald supports the proposed reforms to the Local Government Act and Regulations, that in the main will provide for a stronger, more consistent framework for the local government sector in Western Australia.

The detailed submission in respect of each of the six themes is set out in the table below, with a specific submission for each proposed reform.

Please note that the following terms are used in this submission and are given the meaning set out below:

- Act means the Local Government Act 1995
- **CEO** means a Chief Executive Officer of a local government
- Department means the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries .
- **KPIs** means key performance indicators .
- WAEC means the Western Australian Electoral Commission .
- WALGA means the Western Australian Local Government Association

Curre	ent provisions		Proposed Reforms	Jackson		
Theme 1: Early intervention	eme 1: Early intervention, effective regulation and stronger penalties					
1.1 Early intervention pow	wers					
<ul> <li>staff and council members of local government. The A         <ul> <li>Suspend or dismiss of</li> <li>Appoint Commission</li> <li>Suspend or, order recouncillors.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Act also provides the E         <ul> <li>Conduct Authorised</li> <li>Refer allegations of s Administrative Tribur</li> <li>Commence prosecut</li> </ul> </li> <li>Authorised Inquiries are a c significant issues. Authorised tool for addressing significations</li> <li>The Panel Report, City of F</li> </ul>	Director General with the power to: Inquiries serious or recurrent breaches to the State nal tion for an offence under the Act. costly and a relatively slow response to ed Inquiries are currently the only significant ant issues within a local government. Perth Inquiry, and the Select Committee nmendations related to the establishment of	•	<ul> <li>It is proposed to establish a Chief Inspector of Local Government (the Inspector), supported by an Office of the Local Government Inspector (the Inspector would receive minor and serious complaints about elected members.</li> <li>The Inspector would oversee complaints relating to local government CEOs.</li> <li>Local Governments would still be responsible for dealing with minor behavioural complaints.</li> <li>The Inspector would have powers of a standing inquiry, able to investigate and intervene in any local government where potential issues are identified.</li> <li>The Inspector would have the authority to assess, triage, refer, investigate, or close complaints, having regard to various public interest criteria – considering laws such as the <i>Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003</i>, the <i>Occupational Safety and Health Act 1984</i>, the <i>Building Act 2011</i>, and other legislation.</li> <li>The Inspector would also have the power to order a local government to address non-compliance with the Act or Regulations.</li> <li>The Inspector would be supported by a panel of Local Government Monitors (see item 1.2).</li> <li>Penalties for breaches to the Local Government Act and Regulations will be reviewed and are proposed to be generally strengthened (see item 1.4).</li> <li>These reforms would be supported by new powers to more quickly resolve issues within local government (see items 1.5 and 1.6).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>We support the proposed reformits appropriate authority to insues in respect of council methistory in the local government not addressed promptly, more follow causing significant detriving portant that the Office of the resourced to promptly act and We submit that by specifically the ambit the Office of the Loc complaints to be addressed by framework and continues to earlindependent determination an Local governments have extrements to compel council members or investigation process. For loc behavioural complaints is imported to further or the CEO (under delegation) where the:</li> <li>1. council determines behavioural complainants and respondecies of the complainants and respondecies on making process and actual bias. The prosuch issues can be mained as a statutory obligation to the Corruption and C Corruption, Crime and I resolve complaints relation and process involving council membration and process involving council membration and process involving council membration and process investing process and actual bias. The prosuch issues can be mained as a statutory obligation to the Corruption and C Corruption, Crime and I resolve complaints relation and process involving council membration and pro</li></ul>		

#### n McDonald Submission

form to establish an independent oversight body intervene early and swiftly to address conduct members and local government CEOs. Recent ent sector shows that where conduct issues are re disruptive and dysfunctional behaviour tends to triment to the local government. It is critically he Local Government Inspector is adequately nd address complaints.

ly excluding minor behavioural complaints from ocal Government Inspectorate and leaving these by local government is a significant gap in the exacerbate the current issues around lack of and resolution for minor behavioural complaints. tremely limited investigative powers and no power or committee members to engage in an ocal governments to self-determine minor practical, inappropriate, unsustainable, and has er dysfunction, especially where either the council on) determines behaviour complaints. In respect of

ehavioural complaints, council member pondents are required to participate in the ess notwithstanding the clear conflict of interest proposed reform provides no detail as to how anaged effectively.

avioural complaints, there is a significant risk the ecome untenable. Notwithstanding that a CEO tion to report council member serious misconduct Crime Commission in accordance with the Misconduct Act 2003, it is not the CEO's role to lating to council member behaviour. Council performance and terminates the employment of a ctical and problematic for a CEO to have any age and/or deal with minor behaviour complaints bers, who participate in the council decisioncting directly on the CEO's employment.

	Current provisions	Proposed Reforms	Jacksor
			We submit that the proposed should have the scope and an (depending on the seriousnes member conduct and behavio
1.2	Local Government monitors		
•	There are currently no legislative powers for the provision of monitors/ temporary advisors. The DLGSC provides support and advice to local governments, however there is no existing mechanism for pre-qualified, specialised assistance to manage complex cases.	<ul> <li>A panel of Local Government Monitors would be established.</li> <li>Monitors could be appointed by the Inspector to go into a local government and try to resolve problems.</li> <li>The purpose of Monitors would be to proactively fix problems, rather than to identify blame or collect evidence.</li> <li>Monitors would be qualified specialists, such as:         <ul> <li>Experienced and respected former Mayors, Presidents, and CEOs - to act as mentors and facilitators</li> <li>Dispute resolution experts - to address the breakdown of professional working relationships</li> <li>Certified Practicing Accountants and other financial specialists - to assist with financial management and reporting issues</li> <li>Governance specialists and lawyers - to assist councils resolve legal issues</li> <li>HR and procurement experts - to help with processes like recruiting a CEO or undertaking a major land transaction.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Only the Inspector would have the power to appoint Monitors.</li> <li>Local governments would be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for a specific purpose.</li> <li>Monitor Case Study 1 – Financial Management</li> <li>The Inspector receives information that a local government is not collecting rates correctly under the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i>. Upon initial review, the Inspector identifies that there may be a problem. The Inspector appoints a Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers.</li> <li>Monitor Case Study 2 – Dispute Resolution</li> <li>The Inspector receives a complaint from one councillor that another councillor is sceial government level. The Inspector identifies that the system used to manage rates is not correctly issuing rates notices. The Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers.</li> <li>Monitor Case St</li></ul>	We support the proposed reference of the clearly defined and articular the clearly defined and articular the clear of the
1.3	Conduct Panels	there is a cordial working relationship between the councillors.	
	The Local Government Standards Panel was established in 2007 to	The Standards Panel is proposed to be replaced with a new Local	We support the proposed refo
•	resolve minor breach complaints relatively quickly and provide the sector with guidance and benchmarks about acceptable standards of behaviour.	<ul> <li>The Standards Panel is proposed to be replaced with a new Local Government Conduct Panel.</li> </ul>	Local Government Conduct P make enquiries through the C respect of matters before the

### on McDonald Submission

ed Office of the Local Government Inspectorate authority to receive, investigate, refer or determine ness of the complaint) complaints relating to council aviour.

eform to introduce Local Government Monitors e of authority of a Local Government Monitor must culated in the Act.

eform to replace the Standards Panel with a new t Panel that has the authority and jurisdiction to e Office of the Local Government Inspector in the Conduct Panel.

	Current provisions		Proposed Reforms	Jackso
•	Currently, the Panel makes findings about alleged breaches based on written submissions. The City of Perth Inquiry report made various recommendations that functions of the Local Government Standards Panel be reformed.	• • •	<ul> <li>The Conduct Panel would be comprised of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. Sitting councillors will not be eligible to serve on the Conduct Panel.</li> <li>The Inspector would provide evidence to the Conduct Panel for adjudication.</li> <li>The Conduct Panel would have powers to impose stronger penalties - potentially including being able to suspend councillors for up to three months, with an appeal mechanism.</li> <li>For very serious or repeated breaches of the Local Government Act, the Conduct Panel would have the power to recommend prosecution through the courts.</li> <li>Any person who is subject to a complaint before the Conduct Panel would have the Panel</li> </ul>	Any person who is subject to also have the right to repres
1.4	Review of penalties		makes a decision.	
•	There are currently limited penalties in the Act for certain types of non- compliance with the Local Government Act.	•	<ul> <li>Penalties for breaching the Local Government Act are proposed to be strengthened.</li> <li>It is proposed that the suspension of councillors (for up to three months) is established as the main penalty where a councillor breaches the Local Government Act or Regulations on more than one occasion.</li> <li>Councillors who are disqualified would not be eligible for sitting fees or allowances. They will also not be able to attend meetings, or use their official office (such as their title or council email address).</li> <li>It is proposed that a councillor who is suspended multiple times may become disqualified from office.</li> </ul>	We support the proposed re real deterrent to disruptive b Conduct and the Act.
		•	Councillors who do not complete mandatory training within a certain timeframe will also not be able to receive sitting fees or allowances.	
1.5	Rapid red card resolutions	·		•
•	Currently, local governments have different local laws and standing orders that govern the way meetings run. Presiding members (Mayors and Presidents) are reliant on the powers provided in the local government standing orders local laws. Differences between local governments is a source of confusion about the powers that presiding members have to deal with disruptive behaviours at council meetings. Disruptive behaviour at council meetings is a very common cause of complaints. Having the Presiding Member be able to deal with these problems should more quickly resolve problems that occur at council meetings.	•	<ul> <li>It is proposed that Standing Orders are made consistent across</li> <li>Western Australia (see item 2.6). Published recordings of all meetings would also become standard (item 3.1).</li> <li>It is proposed that Presiding Members have the power to "red card" any attendee (including councillors) who unreasonably and repeatedly interrupt council meetings. This power would: <ul> <li>Require the Presiding Member to issue a clear first warning</li> <li>If the disruptions continue, the Presiding Member will have the power to "red card" that person, who must be silent for the rest of the meeting. A councillor issued with a red card will still vote, but must not speak or move motions</li> <li>If the person continues to be disruptive, the Presiding Member can instruct that they leave the meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Any Presiding Member who uses the "red card" or ejection power will be required to notify the Inspector.</li> <li>Where an elected member refuses to comply with an instruction to be silent or leave, or where it can be demonstrated that the presiding member has not followed the law in using these powers, penalties can be imposed through a review by the Inspector.</li> </ul>	We support the proposed re Orders as this will provide co conduct and management o We do not support the propo considering that the Presidin Standing Orders Local Laws behaviour during a council n
1.6	Vexatious complaint referrals			
•	No current provisions.	•	Local governments already have a general responsibility to provide ratepayers and members of the public with assistance in responding to	We support the proposed re local governments to effective

### on McDonald Submission

t to a complaint before the Conduct Panel should esentation.

# reform for stronger penalties as this will provide a behaviour and conduct that breaches the Code of

reform to introduce standardised, model Standing consistency across all local governments in the of council meetings.

posed "red card" system as this is superfluous ding Member already has powers under respective ws to deal with disruptive and unreasonable I meeting.

reform to introduce legislative provisions to allow ctively deal with vexatious complaints. Recent

	Current provisions	Proposed Reforms	Jackson
•	The Act already provides a requirement for Public Question Time at council meetings.	<ul> <li>should resolve queries and complaints in a respectful, transparent and equitable manner.</li> <li>Unfortunately, local government resources can become unreasonably diverted when a person makes repeated vexatious queries, especially after a local government has already provided a substantial response to the person's query.</li> <li>It is proposed that if a person makes repeated complaints to a local government CEO that are vexatious, the CEO will have the power to refer that person's complaints to the Inspectorate, which after assessment of the facts may then rule the complaint vexatious.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>history in the local government significant detrimental impact local government employees invexatious complaints, including 1. reputational damage for 2. significant time and exp 3. reallocation of resource 4. exposure to an unsafe of and 5. increase in employee a</li> <li>We submit that it is critically in 1. clearly articulate the critical vexatious; and 2. are aligned with the Orrespecially considering to term used in this manual meaning the unreasona Ombudsman legislation agency's conduct is or weating to complain the Conduct F</li> </ul>
1.7	Minor other reforms		Complainant Conduct P
•	Other minor reforms are being considered to enhance the oversight of local government. Ministerial Circulars have traditionally been used to provide guidance to the local government sector.	<ul> <li>are being considered.</li> <li>For example, one option being considered is the potential use of sector- wide guidance notices. Guidance notices could be published by the Minister or Inspector, to give specific direction for how local</li> </ul>	We support the proposed refo governments however the Dep local government sector as pa Further, guidance notices sho Department and not the Minist politicising the guidance advic
The	me 2: Reducing red tape, increasing consistency and simplicity		
2.1	Resource sharing		
•	The Act does not currently include specific provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing - especially for sharing CEOs. Regional local governments would benefit from having clearer mechanisms for voluntary resource-sharing.	<ul> <li>governments, especially smaller regional local governments, to share resources, including Chief Executive Officers and senior employees.</li> <li>Local governments in bands 2, 3 or 4 would be able to appoint a shared CEO at up to two salary bands above the highest band. For example, a band 3 and a band 4 council sharing a CEO could remunerate to the level of band 1.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>We support the proposed refore governments to be more efficial achieve certain economies of We submit that the proposed in capacity to establish fully integration of ICT.</li> <li>We submit that whilst local governments, including but not ICT.</li> <li>We submit that whilst local governments to share a CEO</li> <li>1. unmanageable conflict of implement councils' resident of the proposing positions on the proposition of the proposition of</li></ul>

### on McDonald Submission

nent sector demonstrates clear evidence of the loct on local governments, council members and es who are subjected to persistent, targeted ding:

for the both local government and individuals; expenses incurred in investigating complaints; rces from other services;

fe workplace and workers compensation clams;

e absenteeism.

- important that the legislative provisions:
- criteria and process to determine that a complaint

Ombudsman's complaint handling guidelines, ig that the Ombudsman's position is that ... "The nual is 'unreasonable complainant conduct', onable conduct by complainants. It is derived from ion which allows a finding to be made that an or was unreasonable. It seems logical to apply the omplainants." (Managing Unreasonable et Practice Manual, Ombudsman WA page 15).

eform to provide stronger guidance for local Department must be required to consult with the part of the guidance development process. hould be issued by the Director General of the nister for Local Government to mitigate the risk of vice.

eform to introduce a legislative mechanism for local ficient, share resources to deliver services, and of scale that would otherwise not be achievable. ed reform should be designed around creating the itegrated shared services function between local not limited to systems such as finance, payroll,

governments should be able to share resources senior employees), it is problematic for local O for the following reasons:

ict of interests where a shared CEO is required to resolutions that are contrary or opposing positions; d CEO performance review where the councils have n the shared CEOs performance; and

	Current provisions	Proposed Reforms	Jackso
			3. where a council seeks arrangement, noting the resolution.
2.2	Standardisation of crossovers		We submit that the sharing o
•	Approvals and standards for crossovers (the section of driveways that run between the kerb and private property) are inconsistent between local government areas, often with very minor differences. This can create confusion and complexity for homeowners and small businesses in the construction sector.	<ul> <li>It is proposed to amend the <i>Local Government (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 1996</i> to standardise the process for approving crossovers for residential properties and residential developments on local roads.</li> <li>A Crossover Working Group has provided preliminary advice to the Minister and DLGSC to inform this.</li> <li>The DLGSC will work with the sector to develop standardised design and construction standards.</li> </ul>	We support a consistent app and the approval process pr consulted in respect of the s
2.3	Introduce innovation provisions	·	·
•	The <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> currently has very limited provisions to allow for innovations and responses to emergencies to (such as the Shire of Bruce Rock Supermarket).	<ul> <li>New provisions are proposed to allow exemptions from certain requirements of the Local Government Act 1995, for:         <ul> <li>Short-term trials and pilot projects</li> <li>Urgent responses to emergencies.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	We support the introduction encourage local government service delivery. We submit exempt from compliance wit greater innovation opportuni easily undertaken.
2.4	Streamline local laws		
•	Local laws are required to be reviewed every eight years. The review of local laws (especially when they are standard) has been identified as a burden for the sector. Inconsistency between local laws is frustrating for residents and business stakeholders.	<ul> <li>It is proposed that local laws would only need to be reviewed by the local government every 15 years.</li> <li>Local laws not reviewed in the timeframe would lapse, meaning that old laws will be automatically removed and no longer applicable.</li> <li>Local governments adopting Model Local Laws will have reduced advertising requirements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>We support the proposed repreview local laws with particular review local laws with particular state wide advertising considering that the local considering that the local law considering that the local law down and local law certified by a legal practition.</li> <li>We are generally supportive within which a local law must local laws that are not review best approach. Whilst local review their local laws, or all repealed, there are more appendities which would facilitar regulatory framework lapses consequences for compliant delivery.</li> </ul>
2.5	Simplifying approvals for small business and community even	ents	
•	Inconsistency between local laws and approvals processes for events, street activation, and initiatives by local businesses is frustrating for business and local communities.	<ul> <li>Proposed reforms would introduce greater consistency for approvals for:         <ul> <li>alfresco and outdoor dining</li> <li>minor small business signage rules</li> <li>running community events.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	We support a consistent app alfresco dining, small busine

### on McDonald Submission

eks to act unilaterally and terminate the shared CEO g that the CEO is required to implement council's

of resources model should exclude sharing CEOs.

pproach to the applicable standards for cross-overs providing that the local government sector is standardisation criteria.

on of legislative provisions that provide and ents to explore new and innovative approaches to nit that a trial or experimental environment that is vith certain regulations (procurement) will provide unities as short-term or pilot projects can be more

reform to simplify the process to create, adopt and icular focus on:

ng should be replace with local advertising local law only applies with the local jurisdiction;

advertising where a model local law is adopted; and laws by a legal practitioner instead of Parliament's mittee on Delegated Legislation process.

ment and WALGA should jointly prepare a suite of aws, each of which have been scrutinised and oner.

ve of the proposed reform to extend the timeframe ust be reviewed however an automatic 'lapsing' of ewed within the timeframe is not considered the al governments should be encouraged to proactively allow irrelevant and outdated local laws to be appropriate mechanisms such as warnings and litate review without resulting in a risk that the es unknowingly or unintentionally, having significant ince, enforcement, public safety and general service

pproach to the approvals and regulatory regime for ness signage and community events.

	Current provisions	Proposed Reforms	Jackson
2.6	Standardised meeting procedures, including public question	time	
•	Local governments currently prepare individual standing order local laws. The <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> and regulations require local governments to allocate time at meetings for questions from the public. Inconsistency among the meeting procedures between local governments is a common source of complaints.	<ul> <li>To provide greater clarity for ratepayers and applicants for decisions made by council, it is proposed that the meeting procedures and standing orders for all local government meetings, including for public question time, are standardised across the State.</li> <li>Regulations would introduce standard requirements for public question time, and the procedures for meetings generally.</li> <li>Members of the public across all local governments would have the same opportunities to address council and ask questions.</li> </ul>	We support the proposed ref orders as this will provide a c framework for the conduct of
2.7	Regional subsidiaries		
•	<ul> <li>Initiatives by multiple local governments may be managed through formal Regional Councils, or through less formal "organisations of councils", such as NEWROC and WESROC.</li> <li>These initiatives typically have to be managed by a lead local government.</li> <li>In 2016-17, provisions were introduced to allow for the formation of Regional Subsidiaries. Regional Subsidiaries can be formed in line with the <i>Local Government (Regional Subsidiaries) Regulations 2017.</i></li> <li>So far, no Regional Subsidiary has been formed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Work is continuing to consider how Regional Subsidiaries can be best established to:         <ul> <li>Enable Regional Subsidiaries to provide a clear and defined public benefit for people within member local governments</li> <li>Provide for flexibility and innovation while ensuring appropriate transparency and accountability of ratepayer funds</li> <li>Where appropriate, facilitate financing of initiatives by Regional Subsidiaries within a reasonable and defined limit of risk</li> <li>Ensure all employees of a Regional Subsidiary have the same employment conditions as those directly employed by member local governments.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is commonly acknowledged model is too restrictive and c the proposed reform to impro on simplifying the process ar</li> <li>1. undertake a shared se government members;</li> <li>2. undertake financial init parameters;</li> <li>3. own, hold, acquire, dis</li> <li>4. conduct major trading</li> <li>5. undertake provision of the requirements of the</li> </ul>
The	me 3: Greater transparency and accountability		
3.1	Recordings and live streaming of all Council meetings		
•	Currently, local governments are only required to make written minutes of meetings. While there is no legal requirement for livestreaming or video or audio recording of council meetings, many local governments now stream and record their meetings. Complaints relating to behaviours and decisions at meetings constitute a large proportion of complaints about local governments. Local governments are divided into bands with the largest falling in bands 1 and 2, and smaller local governments falling bands 3 and 4. The allocation of local governments into bands is determined by The Salaries and Allowances Tribunal based on factors such as: Growth and development Strategic planning issues Demands and diversity of services provided to the community Total expenditure Population Staffing levels.	<ul> <li>It is proposed that all local governments will be required to record meetings.</li> <li>Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives.</li> <li>Band 1 and 2 are larger local governments are generally located in larger urban areas, with generally very good telecommunications infrastructure, and many already have audio-visual equipment.</li> <li>Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives.</li> <li>Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives.</li> <li>Several local governments already use platforms such as YouTube, Microsoft Teams, and Vimeo to stream and publish meeting recordings.</li> <li>Limited exceptions would be made for meetings held outside the ordinary council chambers, where audio recordings may be used.</li> <li>Recognising their generally smaller scale, typically smaller operating budget, and potential to be in more remote locations, band 3 and 4 local governments would be required to record and publish audio recordings, at a minimum. These local governments would still be encouraged to livestream or video record meetings.</li> <li>All council meeting recordings would need to be published at the same time as the meeting minutes. Recordings of all confidential items would also need to be submitted to the DLGSC for archiving.</li> </ul>	We support the proposed ref streaming of briefing session access, participation and trar business of local governmen

### on McDonald Submission

reform to standardise meeting procedures/standing a consistent and more simplified regulatory of council meetings.

ged that the current regional council/subsidiary d complex for local governments to use. We support prove the regional subsidiary provisions that focus and provide for regional subsidiaries to:

service function for and on behalf of its local rs;

nitiatives including borrowings, within defined risk

dispose and develop land holdings;

ng undertakings; and

of services in a competitive market providing that the National Competition Policy are met.

reform to require the recording of and visual live ons and council meetings as this provides greater ransparency for the community in respect of the ent.

	Current provisions		Proposed Reforms	Jackso
3.2	Recording all votes in Council minutes			
•	A local government is only required to record which councillor voted for or against a motion in the minutes of that meeting if a request is made by an elected member at the time of the resolution during the meeting. The existing provision does not mandate transparency.	•	To support the transparency of decision-making by councillors, it is proposed that the individual votes cast by all councillors for all council resolutions would be required to be published in the council minutes, and identify those for, against, on leave, absent or who left the chamber. Regulations would prescribe how votes are to be consistently minuted.	We support the proposed ref member votes in the meeting transparent record of the cou
3.3	Clearer guidance for matters that may be confidential			
•	The Act currently provides broad definitions of what type of matters may be discussed as a confidential item. There is limited potential for review of issues managed as confidential items under the current legislation.	•	Recognising the importance of open and transparent decision-making, it is considered that confidential meetings and confidential meeting items should only be used in limited, specific circumstances. It is proposed to make the Act more specific in prescribing items that may be confidential, and items that should remain open to the public. Items not prescribed as being confidential could still be held as confidential items only with the prior written consent of the Inspector. All confidential items would be required to be audio recorded, with those recordings submitted to the DLGSC.	<ul> <li>We support the proposed refabsolutely clear and unambig debate confidentially and belabsolutely clear and unambig debate confidentially and belabsolutely clear and unambig debate confidentially and belabsolutely commercial and a sensitive confidential and be recording is a corporate recording indeed matters where legindeed matters where comple discussed. Council members jeopardising the local governed iscussing another party's periodiscussing another party's periodiscussion could only be 'record' under the Freedom of the submit that the legislative confidential items without the government to approve location confidentially.</li> </ul>
3.4	Additional online registers			· · · · ·
•	Local governments are required to provide information to the community through annual reports, council minutes and the publication of information online. Consistent online publication of information can substitute for certain material in annual reports. Consistency in online reporting across the sector will provide ratepayers with better information. These registers supplement the simplification of financial statements in Theme 6.	•	<ul> <li>It is proposed to require local governments to report specific information in online registers on the local government's website. Regulations would prescribe the information to be included.</li> <li>The following new registers, each updated quarterly, are proposed:         <ul> <li>Lease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee)</li> <li>Community Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the local government</li> <li>Interests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected members about their interests related to matters considered by council</li> <li>Applicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such as cash-in-lieu for public open space and car parking</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	We support the proposed ref accessible through a local go We submit that confidentialit respect of the following regis 1. lease register should not 2. contracts register should

### on McDonald Submission

reform requiring recording of individual council ing minutes as this provides the most accurate and council decision-making process.

reform to introduce legislative provisions that are biguous in respect of matters that council can behind closed doors, which must include the

e receiving legal advice and to maintain legal ge;

al matters both contractual and commercial;

ints to ensure natural justice and procedural

ory matters to not impair the effectiveness of a legal

ecisions of council (including individual council corded in the minutes, matters discussed and l behind closed doors should not be recorded. Any cord and subject to disclosure under the Freedom of be maintained in accordance with the State Records that the threshold and extent of what can be a being considered, leaving only exceptional egal matters or sensitive commercial matters, or nplaints by or about members of the public might be ers must be able to debate freely without fear of ernment's position (legally or commercially), position or diminishing privacy rights. Accordingly, y be effective if recordings would be an exempt n of Information Act or equivalent.

tive provisions should provide for clear criteria for the requirement for the Chief Inspector of Local cal government requests for discuss items

reform to make information more readily and easily government's website.

ality and privacy requirements must be considered in gisters:

not include details of residential tenants; and

	Current provisions		Proposed Reforms	Jackson
			• <b>Contracts Register</b> that discloses all contracts above \$100,000.	
3.5	Chief Executive Officer Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) be	e pub	lished	
•	It is a requirement of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement between both parties.	•	<ul> <li>To provide for minimum transparency, it is proposed to mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs:</li> <li>Be published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period)</li> <li>The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period)</li> <li>The CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide context as may be appropriate (for instance, the impact of events in that year that may have influenced the results against KPIs).</li> </ul>	We do not support the proport the KPIs for CEOs. We understand that the ration of the CEO in implementing to transparency on key perform in the Corporate Business PI Annual Report, not just KPIs We submit that it is a function the CEOs KPIs and for counci in accordance with the agree Further, the performance (ind should be confidential betwee (employer).
The	me 4: Stronger local democracy and community engagement			
4.1	Community and stakeholder engagement charters			
•	There is currently no requirement for local governments to have a specific engagement charter or policy. Many local governments have introduced charters or policies for how they will engage with their community. Other States have introduced a specific requirement for engagement charters.	•	It is proposed to introduce a requirement for local governments to prepare a community and stakeholder engagement charter which sets out how local government will communicate processes and decisions with their community. A model Charter would be published to assist local governments who wish to adopt a standard form.	We support the proposed ref community and stakeholder of Community and Stakeholder principles for community eng best practice engagement is We submit that local governm community engagement prop whether it is informing, const
4.2	Ratepayer satisfaction surveys (Band 1 and 2 local governm	ents	only)	
•	Many local governments already commission independent surveying consultants to hold a satisfaction survey of residents/ratepayers. These surveys provide valuable data on the performance of local governments.	•	It is proposed to introduce a requirement that every four years, all local governments in bands 1 and 2 hold an independently-managed ratepayer satisfaction survey. Results would be required to be reported publicly at a council meeting and published on the local government's website. All local governments would be required to publish a response to the results.	It is acknowledged that most rate-payer satisfaction survey service delivery. We submit that as these surv delivery model and each loca therefore it should be left to it approach to undertaking the
4.3	Introduction of preferential voting			
• • •	The current voting method for local government elections is first past the post. The existing first-past-the-post does not allow for electors to express more than one preference. The candidate with the most votes wins, even if that candidate does not have a majority. Preferential voting better captures the precise intentions of voters and as a result may be regarded as a fairer and more representative system. Voters have more specific choice.	•	Preferential voting is proposed be adopted as the method to replace the current first past the post system in local government elections. In preferential voting, voters number candidates in order of their preferences. Preferential voting is used in State and Federal elections in Western Australia (and in other states). This provides voters with more choice and control over who they elect. All other states use a form of preferential voting for local government.	We do not support the proportion past the post method to preference and preferential voting which 1. First past the post voting (b) less costly; (c) more easily und number of votes (d) less likely to be alliances or distribute 2. Preferential voting: (a) is arguably more easily more easily more easily more easily whether the post voting easily and preferential voting easily and preferential voting easily

### on McDonald Submission

posed reform to introduce a requirement to publish

tionale for this proposed reform centres on the role of the council's strategies and plans however rmance indicators for a local government are set out Plan, Strategic Community Plan and reported in the Pls for CEO performance.

tion of council and the CEO to determine and agree uncil to undertake a performance review of the CEO reed KPIs. This is not a function of the community. (including any issues with performance) of a CEO ween the CEO (employee) and the council

reform for local government to prepare and adopt a er engagement charter. The proposed model ler Engagement Charter should set out guiding engagement to ensure consistent, meaningful and is undertaken.

rnments should have the ability to contextualise their rocesses based on the engagement purposes and nsulting or engaging.

ost local governments undertake an independent vey periodically that informs improvements to

urveys are based on the local government's service ocal government's own discretionary services, o individual local governments to determine the best ne survey.

posed reform to change the change the current first referential voting method for local government ntages and disadvantages of both first past the post ich are:

oting is:

ng count;

nderstood with the candidate with the greatest tes is elected; and

be subject political campaigning as there are no istribution of preference votes.

ore democratic;

	Current provisions		Proposed Reforms	Jackso
				(b) is currently use (c) ensures the mo the will of the vo
				(d) allows for a gre dominated by n
				We submit that there is no c method and the proposed re participation in local governr
4.4	Public vote to elect the Mayor and President			
•	The Act currently allows local governments to have the Presiding Member (the Mayor or President) elected either:	•	Mayors and Presidents of all local governments perform an important public leadership role within their local communities.	We do not support the propo no longer have the ability to
	<ul> <li>by the electors of the district through a public vote; or</li> <li>by the council as a resolution at a council meeting.</li> </ul>	•	Band 1 and 2 local governments generally have larger councils than those in bands 3 and 4.	elect their respective mayors We submit that councils sho
		•	Accordingly, it is proposed that the Mayor or President for all band 1 and 2 councils is to be elected through a vote of the electors of the district. Councils in bands 3 and 4 would retain the current system.	appropriate method especia elected by electors in the dis council members that may le
		•	A number of Band 1 and Band 2 councils have already moved towards Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and President in recent years, including City of Stirling and City of Rockingham.	dysfunction.
4.5	Tiered limits on the number of Councillors			
•	The number of councillors (between 5-15 councillors) is decided by each local government, reviewed by the Local Government Advisory Board, and if approved by the Minister.	•	It is proposed to limit the number of councillors based on the population of the entire local government. Some smaller local governments have already been moving to having	We submit that the number of to the number of electors in t
•	The Panel Report recommended electoral reforms to improve representativeness.		smaller councils to reduce costs for ratepayers.	
		•	<ul> <li>The Local Government Panel Report proposed:</li> <li>For a population of up to 5,000 - five councillors (including the President)</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>population of between 5,000 and 75,000 - five to nine councillors (including the Mayor/President)</li> <li>population of above 75,000 - nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor).</li> </ul>	
4.6	No Wards for small Councils (Band 3 and 4 Councils only)			
•	A local government can make an application to be divided into wards, with councillors elected to those wards.	•	It is proposed that the use of wards for councils in bands 3 and 4 is abolished.	We support the proposed ref governments as the number
•	Only about 10% of band 3 and 4 local governments currently have wards.	•	Wards increase the complexity of elections, as this requires multiple versions of ballot papers to be prepared for a local government's election.	wards. We submit that the number of directly to the number of electric
		•	In smaller local governments, the population of wards can be very small.	
		•	These wards often have councillors elected unopposed, or elect a councillor with a very small number of votes. Some local governments have ward councillors elected with less than 50 votes.	
		•	There has been a trend in smaller local governments looking to reduce the use of wards, with only 10 councils in bands 3 and 4 still having wards.	
4.7	Electoral reform – clear lease requirements for candidate an	id vot	er eligibility	
•	A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to nominate as a candidate in that district.	•	Reforms are proposed to prevent the use of "sham leases" in council elections. Sham leases are where a person creates a lease only to be	We support the proposed real of 'sham leases'.

able to vote or run as a candidate for council.

### on McDonald Submission

sed for State and Federal elections;

nost popular candidates are elected who best reflect voters where there is more than one candidate; and reater representation and prevents elections mainstream party politics.

compelling reason to change the current voting reform should more importantly consider whether nment elections should be mandatory.

posed reform for Band 1 and 2 local governments to to self-determine the most appropriate method to ors.

hould have the discretion to determine the sially considering the real risk that a mayor who is district may not have the broad support of their fellow / lead to an ineffective mayor and potential

er of council member offices should be linked directly in the district.

reform to abolish wards for band 3 and 4 local er of electors does not justify splitting the district into

er of wards within a council district should be linked electors in the district.

reforms to introduce provisions that prohibit the use

	Current provisions		Proposed Reforms	Jackso
•	A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to apply to vote in that district. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified a number of instances where dubious lease arrangements put to question the validity of candidates in local government elections, and subsequently their legitimacy as councillors.	•	<ul> <li>The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified sham leases as an issue.</li> <li>Electoral rules are proposed to be strengthened: <ul> <li>A minimum lease period of 12 months will be required for anyone to register a person to vote or run for council.</li> <li>Home based businesses will not be eligible to register a person to vote or run for council, because any residents are already the eligible voter(s) for that address.</li> <li>Clarifying the minimum criteria for leases eligible to register a person to vote or run for council.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The reforms would include minimum lease periods to qualify as a registered businesses (minimum of 12 months), and the exclusion of home based businesses (where the resident is already eligible) and very small sub-leases.</li> <li>The basis of eligibility for each candidate (e.g. type of property and suburb of property) is proposed to be published, including in the candidate pack for electors.</li> </ul>	We submit that the WAEC o should have the authority to conduct complaints and brea regulations should be develo constitutes a sham lease.
4.8	Reform of candidate profiles			
•	Candidate profiles can only be 800 characters, including spaces. This is equivalent to approximately 150 words.	•	Further work will be undertaken to evaluate how longer candidate profiles could be accommodated. Longer candidate profiles would provide more information to electors, potentially through publishing profiles online. It is important to have sufficient information available to assist electors make informed decisions when casting their vote.	We support the proposed ref as candidates have a numbe them to engage with electors
4.9	Minor other electoral reforms			1
•	Other minor reforms are proposed to improve local government elections.	•	<ul> <li>Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include:         <ul> <li>The introduction of standard processes for vote re-counts if there is a very small margin between candidates (e.g. where there is a margin of less than 10 votes a recount will always be required)</li> <li>The introduction of more specific rules concerning local government council candidates' use of electoral rolls.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	We support standardised rul recounts and the use of elec
The	me 5: Clear roles and responsibilities			
5.1	Introduce principles in the Act			
•	The Act does not currently outline specific principles. The Act contains a short "Content and Intent" section only. The Panel Report recommended greater articulation of principles	•	<ul> <li>It is proposed to include new principles in the Act, including:         <ul> <li>The recognition of Aboriginal Western Australians</li> <li>Tiering of local governments (with bands being as assigned by the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal)</li> <li>Community Engagement</li> <li>Financial Management.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	We support the proposed ref each principle is clearly artic Act. We submit that a further prin long-term sustainability of a
5.2	Greater role clarity			
•	<ul> <li>The Act provides for the role of council, councillor, mayor or president and CEO.</li> <li>The role of the council is to: <ul> <li>govern the local government's affairs</li> <li>be responsible for the performance of the local government's functions.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	•	The Local Government Act Review Panel recommended that roles and responsibilities of elected members and senior staff be better defined in law. It is proposed that these roles and responsibilities are further defined in the legislation. These proposed roles will be open to further consultation and input. These roles would be further strengthened through <b>Council</b> <b>Communications Agreements</b> (see item 5.3).	We support the proposed rei responsibilities of the counci that the legislative provisions functions and roles of counc

### on McDonald Submission

c or the Office of the Local Government Inspector to investigate, determine and/or prosecute election reaches, including the use of sham leases. Further, eloped that sets out the criteria to determine what

reform for strict character limits for candidate profiles aber of platforms (including social media) available to ors.

rules (legislative provisions) in relation to the vote ectoral rolls.

reform to introduce the new principles providing that ticulated and aligned with the content of the new

rinciple to consider for inclusion is the principle of a district.

reform to provide greater clarity on the role and ncil, mayor, councillors and the CEO. We submit ons must set out a clear delineation between the ncil, council members, Mayor and the CEO.

Current provisions	Proposed Reforms	Jacksor
	<ul> <li>5.2.1 - Mayor or President Role</li> <li>It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Mayor or President.</li> <li>While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Mayor or President is responsible for:         <ul> <li>Representing and speaking on behalf of the whole council and the local government, at all times being consistent with the resolutions of council</li> <li>Facilitating the democratic decision-making of council by presiding at council meetings in accordance with the Act</li> <li>Developing and maintaining professional working relationships between councillors and the CEO</li> <li>Performing civic and ceremonial duties on behalf of the local government</li> <li>Working effectively with the CEO and councillors in overseeing the delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	We support the proposed refo in respect of: 1. the council and council 2. facilitating council actir 3. leading council's cultur should be expressly stated ar
	<ul> <li>the local government.</li> <li>5.2.2 - Council Role         <ul> <li>It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Council, which is the entity consisting of all of the councillors and led by the Mayor or President.</li> <li>While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Council is responsible for:                 <ul> <li>Making significant decisions and determining policies through democratic deliberation at council meetings</li> <li>Ensuring the local government is adequately resourced to deliver the local governments operations, services and functions - including all functions that support informed decision-making by council</li> <li>Providing a safe working environment for the CEO;</li> <li>Monitoring and reviewing the performance of the local government.</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	We support the proposed refor 'Ensuring the local governme aligned to 'Ensuring adequate run the local government'. W proposed reform risks blurring CEO, and it is critically import involved in how a local govern
	<ul> <li>5.2.3 - Elected Member (Councillor) Role         <ul> <li>It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all elected councillors.</li> <li>While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that every elected councillor is responsible for:                 <ul> <li>Considering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward)</li> <li>Positively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council</li> <li>Applying relevant law and policy in contributing to the decision-making of the council</li> <li>Engaging in the effective forward planning and review of the local governments' resources, and the performance of its operations, services, and functions</li> <li>Communicating the decisions and resolutions of council to stakeholders and the public</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	We support the proposed reforming their role in an off defined then it is likely to cause must be given to how this dist. Conduct in respect of council jurisdiction of the Act and the capacity'.

### on McDonald Submission

eform and submit that the Mayor's leadership role

- ncil members;
- cting as a functional governing body;
- ture,
- and clearly articulated.

eform and submit that the proposed drafting of nent is adequately resourced' should be more ate resources are allocated to enable the CEO to Without this change and as currently drafted, the ring the line between the roles of council and the ortant to reiterate that it is not council's role to be renment is structured or how staff are resourced.

#### eform.

nember must only use their official title when official capacity', however if this is not clearly ause confusion and conflict. Further, consideration distinction impacts the application of the Code of cil members and the extent that they fall within the he Code of Conduct if not 'acting in their official

			Jackson	
		<ul> <li>with all o</li> <li>Maintair</li> <li>local go</li> <li>Facilitat</li> </ul>	bing and maintaining professional working relationships other councillors and the CEO hing and developing their knowledge and skills relevant to vernment ing public engagement with local government. that elected members should not be able to use their title	
			or", "Mayor", or "President") and associated resources of ch as email address) unless they are performing their role capacity.	
		.2.4 – CEO Role		We support the proposed ref
		a CEO to run t decisions of co		relating to the leadership role should be supported by the C matters relating to council cu circumstances where such cu
			eater clarity, it is proposed to amend the Act to specify the onsibilities of all local government CEOs.	1. CEO's capacity to fulfi
		that the Act is government is o Coordin for all el decision o Facilitat o Ensurin are mar o Managin initiative the cour o Providin councille (see iter o Oversee governn council o Impleme	g timely and accurate information and advice to all ors in line with the Council Communications Agreement	2. functionality of the loca
5.3	Council communication agreements			
•	The Act provides that council and committee members can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the member in their functions.	between Minis and advice wil		We support the proposed ref its CEO to enter into a counc that it clearly articulates the:
•	The availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments.		that local governments will need to have Council ns Agreements between the council and the CEO.	<ol> <li>type of information that</li> <li>timeframe within which</li> </ol>
		information that and the timefration that and the timefration of the timefration of the time frate of the time frate of the time of the ti	Communication Agreements would clearly specify the at is to be provided to councillors, how it will be provided, ames for when it will be provided.	<ol> <li>form within which infor</li> <li>circumstances under winformation is not relevant</li> </ol>
		come into forc	uld be published by DLGSC. This default template will e if a council and CEO do not make a specific other hin a certain timeframe following any election.	
5.4	Local governments may pay superannuation contributions for	elected members	\$ •	
•	Elected members are eligible to receive sitting fees or an annual allowance. Superannuation is not paid to elected members. However, councillors can currently divert part of their allowances to a superannuation fund.	a vote of coun	that local governments should be able to decide, through cil, to pay superannuation contributions for elected se contributions would be additional to existing	We support the proposed ref determine if their council mer

### on McDonald Submission

eform however noting the submission above ole of the Mayor/President, we submit that this a CEO having a legislative obligation to refer culture or council member's conduct in culture or conduct diminishes the:

- Ifil their function; or
- cal government.

eform to introduce a requirement for a council and ncil adopted Communications Agreement provided

- hat can be provided to council members;
- ich requests for information will be satisfied;
- ormation will be provided; and
- r which information will not be provided as the evant to a council member's role.

eform as local governments should be able to embers should receive superannuation.

	Current provisions		Proposed Reforms	Jackson
•	Councils should be reflective and representative of the people living within the district. Local governments should be empowered to remove any barriers to the participation of gender and age diverse people on councils.	• • •	Superannuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. Other states have already moved to allow councils to make superannuation contributions for councillors. Allowing council to provide superannuation is important part of encouraging equality for people represented on council - particularly for women and younger people. Providing superannuation to councillors recognises that the commitment to elected office can reduce a person's opportunity to undertake employment and earn superannuation contributions.	
5.5	Local governments may establish education allowances	1		1
• • 5.6	Local government elected members must complete mandatory training. There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education. Standardised election caretaker period There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with	•	Local governments will have the option of contributing to the education expenses for councillors, up to a defined maximum value, for tuition costs for further education that is directly related to their role on council. Councils will be able to decide on a policy for education expenses, up to a maximum yearly value for each councillor. Councils may also decide not to make this entitlement available to elected members. Any allowance would only be able to be used for tuition fees for courses, such as training programs, diplomas, and university studies, which relate to local government. Where it is made available, this allowance will help councillors further develop skills to assist with making informed decisions on important questions before council, and also provide professional development opportunities for councillors.	We support the proposed ref mechanism to establish educ training of council members i government who seeks to es council policy and administer
•	There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with individual councils operating under their own policies and procedures. This is commonly a point of public confusion.	•	<ul> <li>A State-wide caretaker period for local governments is proposed.</li> <li>All local governments across the State would have the same clearly defined election period, during which:         <ul> <li>Councils do not make major decisions with criteria to be developed defining 'major'</li> <li>Incumbent councillors who nominate for re-election are not to represent the local government, act on behalf of the council, or use local government resources to support campaigning activities.</li> <li>There are consistent election conduct rules for all candidates.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	to the care-taker period prov meet its statutory obligations
5.7	Remove WALGA from the Act			
•	The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is constituted under the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> . The Local Government Panel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation.	•	The Local Government Panel Report recommended that WALGA not be constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. Separating WALGA out of the Act will provide clarity that WALGA is not a State Government entity.	We support a preferable mod that has specific legislative e scheme. We submit that as local gove Agreements, there is minima supplier program.
5.8	Recruitment			
•	Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment. The recruitment of a CEO is a very important decision by a local government.	•	It is proposed that DLGSC establishes a panel of approved panel members to perform the role of the independent person on CEO recruitment panels. Councils will be able to select an independent person from the approved list.	We support the proposed ref recruitment panel as this ens process. However, we subm 1. should not be a voting 2. should not be burdene

### on McDonald Submission

reform which will provide local governments a ducation allowances for further education and rs is supported. We submit that any local establish education allowances must adopted a ster the allowance in accordance with the policy.

pproach across the entire local government sector oviding that local governments can still operate and ns.

nodel for WALGA be an incorporated association exemptions relating to the mutual self-insurance

overnments can access State Common Use mal need for WALGA to operate the preferred

reform to have an independent person on a CEO ensures independent oversight over the recruitment bmit that the independent person:

ng member;

ned with being the Chair of the recruitment panel;

	Current provisions	Proposed Reforms	Jackso
		Councils will still be able to appoint people outside of the panel with the approval of the Inspector.	<ol> <li>role should be clearly process; and</li> <li>provides support to en followed and that the a followed.</li> </ol>
			We further submit that there standards including those rel
The	me 6: Improved financial management and reporting		
6.1	Model financial statements and tiered financial reporting		
•	The financial statements published in the Annual Report is the main financial reporting currently published by local governments. Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantle) and small (Sandstone, Wiluna, Dalwallinu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous - for instance, information that is not relevant to certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published information.	<ul> <li>The Minister strongly believes in transparency and accountability in local government. The public rightly expects the highest standards of integrity, good governance, and prudent financial management in local government.</li> <li>It is critically important that clear information about the financial position of local governments is openly available to ratepayers. Financial information also supports community decision-making about local government services and projects.</li> <li>Local governments differ significantly in the complexity of their operations. Smaller local governments generally have much less operating complexity than larger local governments.</li> <li>The Office of the Auditor General has identified opportunities to improve financial reporting, to make statements clearer, and reduce unnecessary complexity.</li> <li>Recognising the difference in the complexity of smaller and larger local governments, it is proposed that financial reporting requirements should be tiered - meaning that larger local governments will have greater financial reporting requirements than smaller local governments.</li> <li>It is proposed to establish standard templates for Annual Financial Statements for band 1 and 2 councils, and simpler, clearer financial statements for band 3 and 4.</li> <li>Online Registers, updated quarterly (see item 3.4), would provide faster and greater transparency than current annual reports. Standard templates will be published for use by local governments.</li> </ul>	We support transparency and We submit that the governan should be same irrespective Simplifying the strategic and requirements should not den We submit that the Departme smaller and regional local go accountability and reporting r
6.2	Simplify strategic and financial planning	improve the budgeting process.	<u> </u>
•	Requirements for plans are outlined in the Local Government Financial Management and Administration Regulations. There is also the Integrated Planning and Reporting (IPR) framework. While many councils successfully apply IPR to their budgeting and reporting, IPR may seem complicated or difficult, especially for smaller local governments.	<ul> <li>Having clear information about the finances of local government is an important part of enabling informed public and ratepayer engagement and input to decision-making.</li> <li>The framework for financial planning should be based around information being clear, transparent, and easy to understand for all ratepayers and members of the public.</li> <li>In order to provide more consistency and clarity across the State, it is proposed that greater use of templates is introduced to make planning and reporting clearer and simpler, providing greater transparency for ratepayers.</li> </ul>	We support transparency and We support the use of standar submit that this should not er more comprehensive and de In developing and adopting ar required to demonstrate the undertaken, and consideration
		<ul> <li>Local governments would be required to adopt a standard set of plans, and there will be templates published by the DLGSC for use or adaption by local governments.</li> </ul>	

### on McDonald Submission

ly articulated as one that oversees the recruitment

ensure processes and procedures are appropriately e agreed policies and assessment approach are

re should be alignment to Public Sector Recruitment relating to diversity issues and procedural fairness.

and accountability in local government.

hance principles and compliance requirements ve of the size or capability of the local government. Ind financial planning process and reporting lenigrate from minimum compliance requirements. Itment should provide a greater level of support to

governments to meet financial transparency, ng requirements.

and accountability in local government.

ndardised template plans for reporting however we encumber any local governments from developing detailed plans.

g a Council Plan, local governments must be ne community and stakeholder engagement ation of community views.

Current provisions	Proposed Reforms	Jackso
	<ul> <li>It is proposed that the plans that are required are:         <ul> <li>Simplified Council Plans that replace existing Strategic Community Plans and set high-level objectives, with a new plan required at least every eight years. These will be short-form plans, with a template available from the DLGSC</li> <li>Simplified Asset Management Plans to consistently forecast costs of maintaining the local government's assets. A new plan will be required at least every ten years, though local governments should update the plan regularly if the local government gains or disposes of major assets (e.g. land, buildings, or roads). A template will be provided, and methods of valuations will be simplified to reduce red tape</li> <li>Simplified Long Term Financial Plans will outline any long term financial management and sustainability issues, and any investments and debts. A template will be provided, and these plans will be required to be reviewed in detail at least every four years</li> <li>A new Rates and Revenue Policy (see item 6.3) that identifies the approximate value of rates that will need to be collected in future years (referencing the Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan) - providing a forecast to ratepayers (updated at least every four years)</li> <li>The use of simple, one-page Service Proposals and Project Proposals that outline what proposed services or initiatives will cost, to be made available through council meetings. These will become Service Plans and Project Plans added to the yearly budget if approved by council. This provides clear transparency for what the functions and initiatives of the local government cost to deliver. Templates will be available for use by local governments.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
6.3 Rates and revenue policy		
Some councils defer rate rises, resulting in the eventual need to drastically raise rates to cover unavoidable costs - especially for the repair of infrastructure.	<ul> <li>The Rates and Revenue Policy is proposed to increase transparency for ratepayers by linking rates to basic operating costs and the minimum costs for maintaining essential infrastructure.</li> <li>A Rates and Revenue Policy would be required to provide ratepayers with a forecast of future costs of providing local government services.</li> <li>The Policy would need to reflect the Asset Management Plan and the Long Term Financial Plan (see item 6.2), providing a forecast of what rates would need to be, to cover unavoidable costs.</li> <li>A template would be published for use or adaption by all local governments.</li> </ul>	We support a mandatory req and Revenue Policy which m Management and Reserve F ratepayers in respect of a loc and also the factors that wer We submit that when local g setting statements, that they their Rates and Revenue Po why they deviated from their
6.4 Monthly reporting of credit card statements		
<ul> <li>Disclosure requirements brought in by individual councils have shown significant reduction of expenditure of funds.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The statements of a local government's credit cards used by local government employees will be required to be tabled at council at meetings on a monthly basis.</li> <li>This provides oversight of incidental local government spending.</li> </ul>	Most local governments pres council meeting for adoption statements as an attachmen inclusion. We submit that it should be a

### on McDonald Submission

requirement for local governments to adopt a Rates in must be integrated with an Expenditure and Assets e Policy. This will provide greater transparency for local government's budgeting and rating processes vere considered.

I governments adopt their annual budget and rate ey must formally resolve whether they complied with Policy or if not, provide specific detail of the reasons eir own policy.

resent their monthly warrants of payments to each on by council. Including the corporate credit ent with the warrant of payments is a logical

e a mandatory requirement for local government to ments for adoption by council on a monthly basis.

	Current provisions		Proposed Reforms		Jacksoi
• • • 6.6	Local governments are required to report seven ratios in their annual financial statements. These are reported on the MyCouncil website. These ratios are intended to provide an indication of the financial health of every local government. <b>Audit committee</b> Local governments must establish an Audit Committee that has three or more persons, with the majority to be council members.	•	Financial ratios will be reviewed in detail, building on work already underway by the DLGSC. The methods of calculating ratios and indicators will be reviewed to ensure that the results are accurate and useful To ensure independent oversight, it is proposed the Chair of any Audit Committee be required to be an independent person who is not on	1. 2. Whils	submit that the: current arbitrary finance be reviewed as they de local government; and financial ratios need to operations and strateg sustainability ratio doe government compared
•	The Audit Committee is to guide and assist the local government in carrying out the local government's functions in relation to audits conducted under the Act. The Panel Report identified that Audit Committees should be expanded, including to provide improved risk management.	•	council or an employee of the local government. Audit Committees would also need to consider proactive risk management. To reduce costs, it is proposed that local governments should be able to establish shared Regional Audit Committees. The Committees would be able to include council members but would be required to include a majority of independent members and an independent chairperson.	Com We s Com 1. 2. 3. 4. The I funct chart The I funct chart The I local and s the m coun mano mem expe Gove The 0 Com	mittee, the Act does not mittee is as this is set or submit that it is more app mittee is set out in the L to provide independen the local government; to make recommenda an internal auditor; to provide guidance an matters to be audited, management oversigh review and make reco review report on gover internal controls and le Local Government (Aud tion and requirements in ter set out in a schedule role of council is to over government. The Audit should have a level of in najority of Audit Commit icil members), we submit dating that at least one r ber. The independent r reience to undertake the ernment (Audit) Regulati Chair of the Audit Commit mittee meetings. We su mbered with the addition audit Committee meeting of providing independen
6.7	Building upgrade finance				
•	The local government sector has sought reforms that would enable local governments to provide loans to property owners to finance for building improvements. This is not currently provided for under the Act. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation.	•	Reforms would allow local governments to provide loans to third parties for specific building improvements - such as cladding, heritage and green energy fixtures. This would allow local governments to lend funds to improve buildings within their district. Limits and checks and balances would be established to ensure that financial risks are proactively managed.	resou and o repor	submit that local governr urces would need to be compliant lending frame rting would be required to opriately.

### on McDonald Submission

- ncial ratios are not a useful measure and need to do not consider the actual financial position of a and
- to consider the diversity across local government egic objectives. For example, the assets oes not apply consistently to a growing local ed to a non-growing local government.
- equires each local government to establish an Audit ot specify what the role or purpose of the Audit out in the Local Government (Audit) Regulations.
- ppropriate that the role and purpose of the Audit Local Government Act as follows:
- ent oversight over the management and affairs of t;
- lations to Council in respect to the appointment of
- and assistance in respect of carrying out audits, d, scope of audits and functions for financial ght; and
- commendations to Council in respect of the CEO's remance maturity, including risk management, legislative compliance.
- udit) Regulations should set out the operational in detail, with a model terms of reference or audit le.
- ersee the management and financial affairs of a dit Committee is to assist Council with this function independence. Whilst it is problematic to require nittee members to be independent members (not mit that independence can be achieved by e member of the Audit Committee is an independent t member/s must have the requisite skills and re role and assist the Audit Committee and the Local ations should set out these requirements.
- nmittee's role is to chair and preside over the Audit submit that an independent member should not be ional responsibility of chairing and presiding over ngs to ensure that are focused on undertaking their ent oversight.
- nments are not lending agencies so significant e available to develop and implement a regulated nework. Further, detailed financial planning and d to ensure financial risks are managed

Current provisions		Proposed Reforms	Jackson	
6.8	Cost of waste service to be specified on rates notices			
•	No requirement for separation of waste changes on rates notice. Disclosure will increase ratepayer awareness of waste costs. The Review Panel Report included this recommendation.	<ul> <li>It is proposed that waste charges are required to be separately shown on rate notices (for all properties which receive a waste service).</li> <li>This would provide transparency and awareness of costs for ratepayers.</li> </ul>	We support the proposed refore the rates charge on rates notice rate payer. However, we sub- local government sector in relocation what is included to enable direct	

## on McDonald Submission

reform to display the waste charge separately from notices as this provides better transparency for the submit that there needs to be consistency across the relation to how the waste charge is calculated and direct comparisons between local governments.