Theme 1: Early Intervention, Effective Regulation and Stronger Penalties

	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
1.1 Early Intervention Powers		
 The Act provides the means to regulate the conduct of local government staff and council members and sets out powers to scrutinise the affairs of local government. The Act provides certain limited powers to: Suspend or dismiss councils Appoint Commissioners Suspend or, order remedial action (such as training) for individual councillors. The Act also provides the Director General with the power to: Conduct Authorised Inquiries Refer allegations of serious or recurrent breaches to the State Administrative Tribunal Commence prosecution for an offence under the Act. Authorised Inquiries are a costly and a relatively slow response to significant issues. Authorised Inquiries are currently the only significant tool for addressing significant issues within a local government. The Panel Report, City of Perth Inquiry, and the Select Committee Report made various recommendations related to the establishment of a specific office for local government oversight. 	• The Inspector would receive minor and serious complaints about elected members.	 Current WALGA Position Recommendation 1. Support the proposed reforms as they align with the sectors position on external oversight and support. 2. Request the Minister to explore alternate mechanisms for resolving local level complaints. Shire of Boddington Position The proposed reforms are conditionally supported, subject to the resource requirements of the Inspectorate are BEING funded by the State Government. Best practice around minor complaint resolution that removes a local government CEO from the process is suggested as a companion to this change, to ensure impartiality and consistent treatment of minor complaints across the industry.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 1.2). The existing Local Government Standards Panel would be replaced with a new Conduct Panel (see item 1.3). Penalties for breaches to the Local Government Act and Regulations will be reviewed and are proposed to be generally strengthened (see item 1.4). These reforms would be supported by new powers to more quickly resolve issues within local government (see items 1.5 and 1.6). 	
1.2 Local Government Monitors		
 There are currently no legislative powers for the provision of monitors/ temporary advisors. The DLGSC provides support and advice to local governments, however there is no existing mechanism for pre-qualified, specialised assistance to manage complex cases. 	 A panel of Local Government Monitors would be established. Monitors could be appointed by the Inspector to go into a local government and try to resolve problems. The purpose of Monitors would be to proactively fix problems, rather than to identify blame or collect evidence. Monitors would be qualified specialists, such as: Experienced and respected former Mayors, Presidents, and CEOs - to act as mentors and facilitators Dispute resolution experts - to address the breakdown of professional working relationships Certified Practicing Accountants and other financial specialists - to assist with financial management and reporting issues Governance specialists and lawyers - to assist councils resolve legal issues 	 Current WALGA Position As per 1.1 Shire of Boddington Position The concept of Local Government monitors is supported as a broad principle. It is noted that Local Governments are likely to bear the cost of the appointment of a Monitor. This raises the following complexity: The CEO will have the authority to request a Monitor, however, without a Budget provision, this request would need to be approved by Council. It is suggested that a provision similar to that for 'emergency expenditure' be considered, which may involve approval subject to certain limits and with the approval of the Inspectorate.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 HR and procurement experts - to help with processes like recruiting a CEO or undertaking a major land transaction. Only the Inspector would have the power to appoint Monitors. Local governments would be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for a specific purpose. 	
	Monitor Case Study 1 – Financial Management	
	The Inspector receives information that a local government is not collecting rates correctly under the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> . Upon initial review, the Inspector identifies that there may be a problem. The Inspector appoints a Monitor who specialises in financial management in local government. The Monitor visits the local government and identifies that the system used to manage rates is not correctly issuing rates notices. The Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers.	
	Monitor Case Study 2 – Dispute Resolution	
	The Inspector receives a complaint from one councillor that another councillor is repeatedly publishing derogatory personal attacks against another councillor on social media, and that the issue has not been able to be resolved at the local government level. The Inspector identifies that there has been a relationship breakdown between the two councillors due to a disagreement on council.	
	The Inspector appoints a Monitor to host	

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	mediation sessions between the councillors. The Monitor works with the councillors to address the dispute. Through regular meetings, the councillors agree to a working relationship based on the council's code of conduct. After the mediation, the Monitor occasionally makes contact with both councillors to ensure there is a cordial working relationship between the councillors.	
I.3 Conduct Panel		
 The Local Government Standards Panel was established in 2007 to resolve minor breach complaints relatively quickly and provide the sector with guidance and benchmarks about acceptable standards of behaviour. Currently, the Panel makes findings about alleged breaches based on written submissions. The City of Perth Inquiry report made various recommendations that functions of the Local Government Standards Panel be reformed. 	 replaced with a new Local Government Conduct Panel. The Conduct Panel would be comprised of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. Sitting councillors will not be eligible to serve on the Conduct Panel. The Inspector would provide evidence to the Conduct Panel for adjudication. 	Current WALGA Position As per 1.1 Shire of Boddington Position The concept is supported, noting that timeliness of decisions will be critical given that the Inspectorate will determine the level of misconduct and the Conduct Panel will determine the level of penalty. Splitting these two processes may result in delays in relation to the imposition of penalties. Clear KPI's should be set for the Conduct Panel to ensure this continues to be an effective component of the process.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
There are currently limited penalties in the Act for certain types of non-compliance with the Local Government Act.	 Penalties for breaching the Local Government Act are proposed to be strengthened. It is proposed that the suspension of councillors (for up to three months) is established as the main penalty where a councillor breaches the Local Government Act or Regulations on more than one occasion. Councillors who are disqualified would not be eligible for sitting fees or allowances. They will also not be able to attend meetings, or use their official office (such as their title or council email address). It is proposed that a councillor who is suspended multiple times may become disqualified from office. Councillors who do not complete mandatory training within a certain timeframe will also not be able to receive sitting fees or allowances. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported, noting that Councillors who are suspended or disqualified should not be eligible for sitting fees or allowances (dot point three only refers to disqualified Councillors).
 1.5 Rapid Red Card Resolutions Currently, local governments have different local laws and standing orders that govern the way meetings run. Presiding members (Mayors and Presidents) are reliant on the powers provided in the local government standing orders local laws. Differences between local governments is a source of confusion about the powers that presiding members have to deal with disruptive behaviours at council meetings. Disruptive behaviour at council meetings is a very common cause of complaints. Having the Presiding Member be able to deal with these problems should more quickly resolve 	consistent across Western Australia (see item 2.6). Published recordings of all meetings would also become standard (item 3.1).	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported Standing Orders should be made compulsory across the sector to ensure clarity around meeting procedures, and to ensure both a Mayor/President and Councillors have a mechanism to curb unreasonable conduct. Clarity is also needed where Councillors are suspended resulting in the lack of ability to achieve a quorum, or sufficient numbers for absolute

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
problems that occur at council meetings.	 red card will still vote, but must not speak or move motions If the person continues to be disruptive, the Presiding Member can instruct that they leave the meeting. Any Presiding Member who uses the "red card" or ejection power will be required to notify the Inspector. Where an elected member refuses to comply with an instruction to be silent or leave, or where it can be demonstrated that the presiding member has not followed the law in using these powers, penalties can be imposed through a review by the Inspector. 	majority decisions.
1.6 Vexatious Complaint Referrals		
 No current provisions. The Act already provides a requirement for Public Question Time at council meetings. 	 Local governments already have a general responsibility to provide ratepayers and members of the public with assistance in responding to queries about the local government's operations. Local governments should resolve queries and complaints in a respectful, transparent and equitable manner. Unfortunately, local government resources can become unreasonably diverted when a person makes repeated vexatious queries, especially after a local government has already provided a substantial response to the person's query. It is proposed that if a person makes repeated complaints to a local government CEO that are vexatious, the CEO will have the power to refer that person's complaints to the Inspectorate, which after assessment of the facts may then 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported The concept of introducing a means to limit unreasonable complainants negatively impacting Local Governments is welcomed. It is considered that a local government CEO should be able to determine the vexatious nature of complaints, rather than requiring a referral to the Inspectorate. A guideline could be produced to assist the sector with the assessment.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	rule the complaint vexatious.	
1.7 Minor Other Reforms		
 Other minor reforms are being considered to enhance the oversight of local government. Ministerial Circulars have traditionally been used to provide guidance to the local government sector. 	for local governments are being considered.For example, one option being considered is	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported, with further consultation to occur around updated guidance notes to provide clear direction for the sector.

Theme 2: Reducing Red Tape, Increasing Consistency and Simplicity

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
2.1 Resource Sharing		
 The Act does not currently include specific provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing – especially for sharing CEOs. Regional local governments would benefit from having clearer mechanisms for voluntary resource-sharing. 	 Amendments are proposed to encourage and enable local governments, especially smaller regional local governments, to share resources, including Chief Executive Officers and senior employees. Local governments in bands 2, 3 or 4 would be able to appoint a shared CEO at up to two salary bands above the highest band. For example, a band 3 and a band 4 council sharing a CEO could remunerate to the level of band 1. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported Guidelines should be produced to assist Local Governments proposing to share a CEO / other resources. This should include how kpi's are to be set across multiple employers, performance review processes, and provisions such as ending agreements by one or more parties.
2.2 Standardisation of Crossovers		
 Approvals and standards for crossovers (the section of driveways that run between the kerb and private property) are inconsistent between local government areas, often with very minor differences. This can create confusion and complexity for homeowners and small businesses in the construction sector. 	 It is proposed to amend the Local Government (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 1996 to standardise the process for approving crossovers for residential properties and residential developments on local roads. A Crossover Working Group has provided preliminary advice to the Minister and DLGSC to inform this. The DLGSC will work with the sector to develop standardised design and construction standards. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported, noting that the final detail will need to include provisions for both regional and metropolitan local governments to cater for the varied environments.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
2.3 Introduce Innovation Provisions	2.3 Introduce Innovation Provisions		
• The <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> currently has very limited provisions to allow for innovations and responses to emergencies to (such as the Shire of Bruce Rock Supermarket).	 New provisions are proposed to allow exemptions from certain requirements of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i>, for: Short-term trials and pilot projects Urgent responses to emergencies. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported	
2.4 Streamline Local Laws			
 Local laws are required to be reviewed every eight years. The review of local laws (especially when they are standard) has been identified as a burden for the sector. Inconsistency between local laws is frustrating for residents and business stakeholders. 	 It is proposed that local laws would only need to be reviewed by the local government every 15 years. Local laws not reviewed in the timeframe would lapse, meaning that old laws will be automatically removed and no longer applicable. Local governments adopting Model Local Laws will have reduced advertising requirements. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported with the provision that a size and scale approach should be considered. This will ensure that the proposal does not in fact create more red- tape for smaller local governments than exists currently.	
2.5 Simplifying Approvals for Small Business	and Community Events		
 Inconsistency between local laws and approvals processes for events, street activation, and initiatives by local businesses is frustrating for business and local communities. 	 Proposed reforms would introduce greater consistency for approvals for: alfresco and outdoor dining minor small business signage rules running community events. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported	
2.6 Standardised Meeting Procedures, Including Public Question Time			
 Local governments currently prepare individual standing order local laws. The Local Government Act 1995 and regulations require local governments to 	• To provide greater clarity for ratepayers and applicants for decisions made by council, it is proposed that the meeting procedures and standing orders for all local government	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 allocate time at meetings for questions from the public. Inconsistency among the meeting procedures between local governments is a common source of complaints. 	 meetings, including for public question time, are standardised across the State. Regulations would introduce standard requirements for public question time, and the procedures for meetings generally. Members of the public across all local governments would have the same opportunities to address council and ask questions. 	Supported, subject to a size and scale approach being introduced.
2.7 Regional Subsidiaries		
 Initiatives by multiple local governments may be managed through formal Regional Councils, or through less formal "organisations of councils", such as NEWROC and WESROC. These initiatives typically have to be managed by a lead local government. In 2016-17, provisions were introduced to allow for the formation of Regional Subsidiaries. Regional Subsidiaries can be formed in line with the <i>Local Government (Regional Subsidiaries) Regulations 2017.</i> So far, no Regional Subsidiary has been formed. 	 Work is continuing to consider how Regional Subsidiaries can be best established to: Enable Regional Subsidiaries to provide a clear and defined public benefit for people within member local governments Provide for flexibility and innovation while ensuring appropriate transparency and accountability of ratepayer funds Where appropriate, facilitate financing of initiatives by Regional Subsidiaries within a reasonable and defined limit of risk Ensure all employees of a Regional Subsidiary have the same employment conditions as those directly employed by member local governments. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported

Theme 3: Greater Transparency & Accountability

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Cou	3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All Council Meetings		
 Currently, local governments are only required to make written minutes of meetings. While there is no legal requirement for livestreaming or video or audio recording of council meetings, many local governments now stream and record their meetings. Complaints relating to behaviours and decisions at meetings constitute a large proportion of complaints about local governments. Local governments are divided into bands with the largest falling in bands 1 and 2, and smaller local governments falling bands 3 and 4. The allocation of local governments into bands is determined by The Salaries and Allowances Tribunal based on factors¹ such as: Growth and development Strategic planning issues Demands and diversity of services provided to the community Total expenditure Population Staffing levels. 	 be required to record meetings. Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. Band 1 and 2 are larger local governments are generally located in larger urban areas, with generally very good telecommunications infrastructure, and many already have audio-visual equipment. 	 Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Partially supported with the following provisions: Transitional provisions, or State Government funding, to allow for smaller local governments who do not currently have the IT capability, to budget for this and implement over time, with minimal impact to community. Recording of meetings is supported for internal and review purposes, however the publication of audio recordings is not supported due to legal and governance concerns. These include; increased likelihood of complaints from the public who may not understand relevant processes, increased administration time dealing with complaints, and a reduction in open debate within the Council meeting. Confidential meetings to be retained by the local government and produced on request of the Inspectorate rather than implementing a process by which all confidential recordings are to be submitted to the Inspectorate in the event that they are needed. The additional process will be both inefficient, result in a duplication of data, and increase 	

¹ See page 3 of the <u>2018 Salaries and Allowance Tribunal Determination</u>

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	minutes. Recordings of all confidential items would also need to be submitted to the DLGSC for archiving.	cybersecurity risks around the security of the confidential items.
3.2 Recording All Votes in Council Minutes		
 A local government is only required to record which councillor voted for or against a motion in the minutes of that meeting if a request is made by an elected member at the time of the resolution during the meeting. The existing provision does not mandate transparency. 	 To support the transparency of decision- making by councillors, it is proposed that the individual votes cast by all councillors for all council resolutions would be required to be published in the council minutes, and identify those for, against, on leave, absent or who left the chamber. Regulations would prescribe how votes are to be consistently minuted. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported
3.3 Clearer Guidance for Meeting Items that m	ay be Confidential	
 The Act currently provides broad definitions of what type of matters may be discussed as a confidential item. There is limited potential for review of issues managed as confidential items under the current legislation. 	 Recognising the importance of open and transparent decision-making, it is considered that confidential meetings and confidential meeting items should only be used in limited, specific circumstances. It is proposed to make the Act more specific in prescribing items that may be confidential, and items that should remain open to the public. Items not prescribed as being confidential could still be held as confidential items only with the prior written consent of the Inspector. All confidential items would be required to be audio recorded, with those recordings 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported, with the exception of the confidential recording being submitted to the DLGSC – see comment in Item 3.1 (above), and for operational matters where confidentiality may need to be preserved due to significant risk (e.g. cybersecurity audits.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	submitted to the DLGSC.	
3.4 Additional Online Registers		
 Local governments are required to provide information to the community through annual reports, council minutes and the publication of information online. Consistent online publication of information can substitute for certain material in annual reports. Consistency in online reporting across the sector will provide ratepayers with better information. These registers supplement the simplification of financial statements in Theme 6. 	 It is proposed to require local governments to report specific information in online registers on the local government's website. Regulations would prescribe the information to be included. The following new registers, each updated quarterly, are proposed: Lease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee) Community Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the local government Interests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected members about their interests related to matters considered by council Applicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such as cash-inlieu for public open space and car parking Contracts Register that discloses all contracts above \$100,000. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Conditionally support. While there is no particular concern around the information being publicly available, an assessment of value should be undertaken in relation to the new registers, especially for smaller local governments who have limited resources for additional governance related activities. Additional staffing required for governance, removes the capacity to deliver value for the community in other areas.
3.5 Chief Executive Officer Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) be Published		
 It is a requirement of the Local Government Act 1995 that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. 	 To provide for minimum transparency, it is proposed to mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs: Be published in council meeting minutes 	Current WALGA Position 1. Conditionally Support the reporting of CEO KPIs that are consistent with the strategic direction and operational function of the Local

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement between both parties. 	 as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period) The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period) The CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide context as may be appropriate (for instance, the impact of events in that year that may have influenced the results against KPIs). 	 Government, subject to exemptions for publishing KPI's of a confidential nature; 2. Do not support the results of performance reviews being published. Shire of Boddington Position Partially Support Performance indicators that link to strategy, such as Corporate Business Plan projects and activities could be argued to be relevant to the public scrutiny, however, the achievement of such indicators are already reported in the Annual Report. This process would seem to duplicate the one already in existence. If the Department progresses with the requirement to publish CEO KPI's, these should be limited to those linked to strategies, rather than softer metrics such as leadership style, staff engagement and employee culture (for example).

Theme 4: Stronger Local Democracy and Community Engagement

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS		
4.1 Community and Stakeholder Engagement	4.1 Community and Stakeholder Engagement Charters			
 There is currently no requirement for local governments to have a specific engagement charter or policy. Many local governments have introduced charters or policies for how they will engage with their community. Other States have introduced a specific requirement for engagement charters. 	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement for local governments to prepare a community and stakeholder engagement charter which sets out how local government will communicate processes and decisions with their community. A model Charter would be published to assist local governments who wish to adopt a standard form. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported		
4.2 Ratepayer Satisfaction Surveys (Band 1 and	nd 2 local governments only)			
 Many local governments already commission independent surveying consultants to hold a satisfaction survey of residents/ratepayers. These surveys provide valuable data on the performance of local governments. 	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement that every four years, all local governments in bands 1 and 2 hold an independently-managed ratepayer satisfaction survey. Results would be required to be reported publicly at a council meeting and published on the local government's website. All local governments would be required to publish a response to the results. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position No comment		
4.3 Introduction of Preferential Voting	4.3 Introduction of Preferential Voting			
 The current voting method for local government elections is first past the post. The existing first-past-the-post does not allow for electors to express more than one preference. The candidate with the most votes wins, even if that candidate does not have a majority. 	 Preferential voting is proposed be adopted as the method to replace the current first past the post system in local government elections. In preferential voting, voters number candidates in order of their preferences. Preferential voting is used in State and Federal elections in Western Australia (and in 	Current WALGA Position Currently undertaking consultation with local government to receive feedback on WALGA's position. Previous position: Supportive of first past the post system. <u>Comment</u> It should be noted that the sector's advocacy		

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
Preferential voting better captures the precise intentions of voters and as a result may be regarded as a fairer and more representative system. Voters have more specific choice.		 against compulsory voting and "All in All out" 4 year terms has been successful and these items are not included in the reform proposals. The introduction of preferential voting will be a return to the system of voting prior to the <i>Local</i> <i>Government Act</i> 1995. The Local Government Advisory Board reported on voting systems in 2006 ('Local Government Structural Reform in Western Australia: Ensuring the Future Sustainability of Communities') and provided the following comments in support of both first past the post voting and preferential voting: 'Comments in support of retaining first past the post include: Quick to count. Preferential voting is time consuming to count. Easily understood. Removes politics out of campaigning. Preferential will encourage alliances formed for the distribution of preferences and party politics into local government. Preferential voting allows election rigging through alliances or 'dummy' candidates. In a preferential system, the person that receives the highest number of first preference votes does not necessarily get elected.' 'Comments in support of replacing first past the post include: Preferential voting is more democratic and removes an area of confusion. Preferential voting ensures that the most popular candidates are elected who best reflect the will of the voters. Preferential system should be introduced. In

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
		 FPP elections, candidates work together to get votes for each other. Preferential would make it more difficult for this practice to take place. FPP does not adequately reflect the wishes of electors when there are three candidates or more. FPP is unsuitable when there is more than one vacancy. Allows for a greater representation from a range of interest groups and prevents domination of elections by mainstream party politics.' 	
		Shire of Boddington Position Not Supported - First past the post is considered to be a more appropriate method.	
4.4 Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and Presid	4.4 Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and President		
 The Act currently allows local governments to have the Presiding Member (the Mayor or President) elected either: by the electors of the district through a public vote; or by the council as a resolution at a council meeting. 	 Mayors and Presidents of all local governments perform an important public leadership role within their local communities. Band 1 and 2 local governments generally have larger councils than those in bands 3 and 4. Accordingly, it is proposed that the Mayor or President for all band 1 and 2 councils is to be elected through a vote of the electors of the district. Councils in bands 3 and 4 would retain the current system. A number of Band 1 and Band 2 councils have already moved towards Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and President in recent years, including City of Stirling and City of Rockingham. 	Current WALGA Position Not currently supported – seeking sector feedback. Shire of Boddington Position No comment	
4.5 Tiered Limits on the Number of Councillors			

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 The number of councillors (between 5-15 councillors) is decided by each local government, reviewed by the Local Government Advisory Board, and if approved by the Minister. The Panel Report recommended electoral reforms to improve representativeness. 	 It is proposed to limit the number of councillors based on the population of the entire local government. Some smaller local governments have already been moving to having smaller councils to reduce costs for ratepayers. The Local Government Panel Report proposed: For a population of up to 5,000 – five councillors (including the President) population of between 5,000 and 75,000 – five to nine councillors (including the Mayor/President) population of above 75,000 – nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor). 	 Current WALGA Position Recommend 5 to 7 Council Members for populations up to 5,000 and support the remaining proposed reforms. Shire of Boddington Position Support limits being imposed on the number of Councillors based on population as follows: population of up to 5,000 – five to seven councillors (including the President) population of between 5,000 and 75,000 – five to nine councillors (including the Mayor/President) population of above 75,000 – nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor). A limit of 5 Councillors would mean that Absolute Majority decisions can be made for a community with the vote of 3 Councillors. 3 Councillors does not provide sufficient representation of community need.
4.6 No Wards for Small Councils (Band 3 and 4 Councils only)		

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 A local government can make an application to be divided into wards, with councillors elected to those wards. Only about 10% of band 3 and 4 local governments currently have wards. 	 It is proposed that the use of wards for councils in bands 3 and 4 is abolished. Wards increase the complexity of elections, as this requires multiple versions of ballot papers to be prepared for a local government's election. In smaller local governments, the population of wards can be very small. These wards often have councillors elected unopposed, or elect a councillor with a very small number of votes. Some local governments have ward councillors elected with less than 50 votes. There has been a trend in smaller local governments looking to reduce the use of wards, with only 10 councils in bands 3 and 4 still having wards. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported

4.7 Electoral Reform – Clear Lease Requirements for Candidate and Voter Eligibility			
• A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to nominate as a candidate	•	Reforms are proposed to prevent the use of "sham leases" in council elections. Sham	
in that district.		leases are where a person creates a lease	Supported
• A person with a lease in a local government	l	only to be able to vote or run as a candidate	Shire of Boddington Position
district is eligible to apply to vote in that		for council.	Supported
district.	•	The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified	
• The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified a	1	sham leases as an issue.	
number of instances where dubious lease	•	Electoral rules are proposed to be	
arrangements put to question the validity of	l	strengthened:	
candidates in local government elections,	l	• A minimum lease period of 12 months will	
and subsequently their legitimacy as		be required for anyone to register a	
councillors.		person to vote or run for council.	
		• Home based businesses will not be	

4.8 Reform of Candidate Profiles	 eligible to register a person to vote or run for council, because any residents are already the eligible voter(s) for that address. Clarifying the minimum criteria for leases eligible to register a person to vote or run for council. The reforms would include minimum lease periods to qualify as a registered business (minimum of 12 months), and the exclusion of home based businesses (where the resident is already eligible) and very small sub-leases. The basis of eligibility for each candidate (e.g. type of property and suburb of property) is proposed to be published, including in the candidate pack for electors.
Candidate profiles can only be 800 characters, including spaces. This is equivalent to approximately 150 words.	 Further work will be undertaken to evaluate how longer candidate profiles could be accommodated. Longer candidate profiles would provide more information to electors, potentially through publishing profiles online. It is important to have sufficient information available to assist electors make informed decisions when casting their vote. Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported
 4.9 Minor Other Electoral Reforms Other minor reforms are proposed to improve local government elections. 	 Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include: The introduction of standard processes for vote re-counts if there is a very small margin between candidates (e.g. where there is a margin of less than 10 votes a Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include: Current WALGA Position Supported

 recount will always be required) The introduction of more specific rules 	
concerning local government council candidates' use of electoral rolls.	

Theme 5: Clear Roles and Responsibilities

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
5.1 Introduce Principles in the Act		
 The Act does not currently outline specific principles. The Act contains a short "Content and Intent" section only. The Panel Report recommended greater articulation of principles 	 It is proposed to include new principles in the Act, including: The recognition of Aboriginal Western Australians Tiering of local governments (with bands being as assigned by the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal) Community Engagement Financial Management. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported
5.2 Greater Role Clarity		
 The Act provides for the role of council, councillor, mayor or president and CEO. The role of the council is to: govern the local government's affairs be responsible for the performance of the local government's functions. 	 The Local Government Act Review Panel recommended that roles and responsibilities of elected members and senior staff be better defined in law. It is proposed that these roles and responsibilities are further defined in the legislation. These proposed roles will be open to further consultation and input. These roles would be further strengthened through Council Communications Agreements (see item 5.3). 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 5.2.1 - Mayor or President Role It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Mayor or President is responsible for: Representing and speaking on behalf of the whole council and the local government, at all times being consistent with the resolutions of council Facilitating the democratic decision-making of council by presiding at council meetings in accordance with the Act Developing and maintaining professional working relationships between councillors and the CEO Performing civic and ceremonial duties on behalf of the local government Working effectively with the CEO and councillors in overseeing the delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported
	 5.2.2 - Council Role It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Council, which is the entity consisting of all of the councillors and led by the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Council 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 is responsible for: Making significant decisions and determining policies through democratic deliberation at council meetings Ensuring the local government is adequately resourced to deliver the local governments operations, services and functions - including all functions that support informed decision-making by council Providing a safe working environment for the CEO; Monitoring and reviewing the performance of the local government. 	
-	5.2.3 - Elected Member (Councillor) Role	Current WALGA Position Supported
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all elected councillors. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that every elected councillor is responsible for: Considering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward) Positively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council Applying relevant law and policy in 	Supported Supported

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 contributing to the decision-making of the council Engaging in the effective forward planning and review of the local governments' resources, and the performance of its operations, services, and functions Communicating the decisions and resolutions of council to stakeholders and the public Developing and maintaining professional working relationships with all other councillors and the CEO Maintaining and developing their knowledge and skills relevant to local government Facilitating public engagement with local government. It is proposed that elected members should not be able to use their title (e.g. "Councillor", "Mayor", or "President") and associated resources of their office (such as email address) unless they are performing their role in their official capacity. 	
	5.2.4 - CEO Role	Current WALGA Position Supported
	 The Local Government Act 1995 requires local governments to employ a CEO to run the local government administration and implement the decisions of council. To provide greater clarity, it is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all local government CEOs. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is 	Shire of Boddington Position Supported

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 amended to generally outline that the CEO of a local government is responsible for: Coordinating the professional advice and assistance necessary for all elected members to enable the council to perform its decision-making functions Facilitating the implementation of council decisions Ensuring functions and decisions lawfully delegated by council are managed prudently on behalf of the council Managing the effective delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government determined by the council Providing timely and accurate information and advice to all councillors in line with the Council Communications Agreement (see item 5.3) Overseeing the compliance of the operations of the local government with State and Federal legislation on behalf of the council Implementing and maintaining systems to enable effective planning, management, and reporting on behalf of the council. 	

5.3 Council Communication Agreements		
• The Act provides that council and committee members can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the	 In State Government, there are written Communication Agreements between Ministers and agencies that set standards for how information and advice will be provided. 	Supports a consistent, regulated

 member in their functions. The availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments. 	 It is proposed that local governments will need to have Council Communications Agreements between the council and the CEO. These Council Communication Agreements would clearly specify the information that is to be provided to councillors, how it will be provided, and the timeframes for when it will be provided. A template would be published by DLGSC. This default template will come into force if a council and CEO do not make a specific other agreement within a certain timeframe following any election. 	Shire of Boddington Position Supported.
5.4 Local Governments May Pay Superannua	tion Contributions for Elected Members	
 Elected members are eligible to receive sitting fees or an annual allowance. Superannuation is not paid to elected members. However, councillors can currently divert part of their allowances to a superannuation fund. Councils should be reflective and representative of the people living within the district. Local governments should be empowered to remove any barriers to the participation of gender and age diverse people on councils. 	 able to decide, through a vote of council, to pay superannuation contributions for elected members. These contributions would be additional to existing allowances. Superannuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported

5.5 Local Governments May Establish Education Allowances		
 Local government elected members must complete mandatory training. There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education. 	 Local governments will have the option of contributing to the education expenses for councillors, up to a defined maximum value, for tuition costs for further education that is directly related to their role on council. Councils will be able to decide on a policy for education expenses, up to a maximum yearly value for each councillor. Councils may also decide not to make this entitlement available to elected members. Any allowance would only be able to be used for tuition fees for courses, such as training programs, diplomas, and university studies, which relate to local government. Where it is made available, this allowance will help councillors further develop skills to assist with making informed decisions on important questions before council, and also provide professional development opportunities for councillors. 	
5.6 Standardised Election Caretaker period		

Local Government Reform – Consultation on Proposed Reforms

 There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with individual councils operating under their own policies and procedures. This is commonly a point of public confusion. 	 A statewide caretaker period for local governments is proposed. All local governments across the State would have the same clearly defined election period, during which: Councils do not make major decisions with criteria to be developed defining 'major' Incumbent councillors who nominate for reelection are not to represent the local government, act on behalf of the council, or use local government resources to support campaigning activities. There are consistent election conduct rules for all candidates. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported Clarity will be needed around what is meant by 'not representing the local government or acting on behalf of the local government'.
5.7 Remove WALGA from the Act		
 The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. The Local Government Panel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation. 	 recommended that WALGA not be constituted under the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i>. Separating WALGA out of the Act will provide 	Current WALGA Position No current position. Shire of Boddington Position No comment

5.8 CEO Recruitment		
 Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment. The recruitment of a CEO is a very important decision by a local government. 	 It is proposed that DLGSC establishes a panel of approved panel members to perform the role of the independent person on CEO recruitment panels. Councils will be able to select an independent person from the approved list. Councils will still be able to appoint people outside of the panel with the approval of the Inspector. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position While this concept is generally supported, the financial impact on Tier 3 and 4 local governments is a concern, as the ability to fund a panel member to the same extent as a Tier 1 or 2 local government is not available. Applying fixed costs such as this to all LG bands has a varied financial impact – generally more negative towards a smaller LG.

Theme 6: Improved Financial Management and Reporting

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiered Fina	6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiered Financial Reporting		
 The financial statements published in the Annual Report is the main financial reporting currently published by local governments. Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantle) and small (Sandstone, Wiluna, Dalwallinu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous - for instance, information that is not relevant to certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published information. 	 The Minister strongly believes in transparency and accountability in local government. The public rightly expects the highest standards of integrity, good governance, and prudent financial management in local government. It is critically important that clear information about the financial position of local governments is openly available to ratepayers. Financial information also supports community decision-making about local government services and projects. Local governments differ significantly in the complexity of their operations. Smaller local governments generally have much less operating complexity than larger local governments. The Office of the Auditor General has identified opportunities to improve financial reporting, to make statements clearer, and reduce unnecessary complexity. Recognising the difference in the complexity of smaller and larger local governments, it is proposed that financial reporting requirements should be tiered – meaning that larger local governments. It is proposed to establish standard templates for Annual Financial Statements for band 1 and 2 councils, and simpler, clearer financial statements for band 3 and 4. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Supported	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Online Registers, updated quarterly (see item 3.4), would provide faster and greater transparency than current annual reports. Standard templates will be published for use by local governments. Simpler Strategic and Financial Planning (item 6.2) would also improve the budgeting process. 	
6.2 Simplify Strategic and Financial Planning		
 Requirements for plans are outlined in the Local Government Financial Management and Administration Regulations. There is also the Integrated Planning and Reporting (IPR) framework. While many councils successfully apply IPR to their budgeting and reporting, IPR may seem complicated or difficult, especially for smaller local governments. 	 Having clear information about the finances of local government is an important part of enabling informed public and ratepayer engagement and input to decision-making. The framework for financial planning should be based around information being clear, transparent, and easy to understand for all ratepayers and members of the public. In order to provide more consistency and clarity across the State, it is proposed that greater use of templates is introduced to make planning and reporting clearer and simpler, providing greater transparency for ratepayers. Local governments would be required to adopt a standard set of plans, and there will be templates published by the DLGSC for use or adaption by local governments. It is proposed that the plans that are required are: Simplified Council Plans that replace existing Strategic Community Plans and set high-level objectives, with a new plan required at least every eight years. These will be short-form plans, with a template 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 available from the DLGSC Simplified Asset Management Plans to consistently forecast costs of maintaining the local government's assets. A new plan will be required at least every ten years, though local governments should update the plan regularly if the local government gains or disposes of major assets (e.g. land, buildings, or roads). A template will be provided, and methods of valuations will be simplified to reduce red tape Simplified Long Term Financial Plans will outline any long term financial management and sustainability issues, and any investments and debts. A template will be provided, and these plans will be required to be reviewed in detail at least every four years A new Rates and Revenue Policy (see item 6.3) that identifies the approximate value of rates that will need to be collected in future years (referencing the Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan) – providing a forecast to ratepayers (updated at least every four years) The use of simple, one-page Service Proposals and Project Proposals that outline what proposed services or initiatives will cost, to be made available through council meetings. These will become Service Plans and Project Plans added to the yearly budget if approved by council. This provides clear transparency for what 	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	the functions and initiatives of the local government cost to deliver. Templates will be available for use by local governments.	
6.3 Rates and Revenue Policy		
 Local governments are not required to have a rates and revenue policy. Some councils defer rate rises, resulting in the eventual need to drastically raise rates to cover unavoidable costs – especially for the repair of infrastructure. 	 The Rates and Revenue Policy is proposed to increase transparency for ratepayers by linking rates to basic operating costs and the minimum costs for maintaining essential infrastructure. A Rates and Revenue Policy would be required to provide ratepayers with a forecast of future costs of providing local government services. The Policy would need to reflect the Asset Management Plan and the Long Term Financial Plan (see item 6.2), providing a forecast of what rates would need to be, to cover unavoidable costs. A template would be published for use or adaption by all local governments. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported with a Tiered approach.
6.4 Monthly Reporting of Credit Card Stateme	nts	
 No legislative requirement. Disclosure requirements brought in by individual councils have shown significant reduction of expenditure of funds. 	 The statements of a local government's credit cards used by local government employees will be required to be tabled at council at meetings on a monthly basis. This provides oversight of incidental local government spending. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported
6.5 Amended Financial Ratios		
Local governments are required to report seven ratios in their annual financial statements.	• Financial ratios will be reviewed in detail, building on work already underway by the DLGSC.	Current WALGA Position Supported
These are reported on the MyCouncil	• The methods of calculating ratios and	Shire of Boddington Position

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
 website. These ratios are intended to provide an indication of the financial health of every local government. 	indicators will be reviewed to ensure that the results are accurate and useful.	Supported	
6.6 Audit Committees			
 Local governments must establish an Audit Committee that has three or more persons, with the majority to be council members. The Audit Committee is to guide and assist the local government in carrying out the local government's functions in relation to audits conducted under the Act. The Panel Report identified that Audit Committees should be expanded, including to provide improved risk management. 	 To ensure independent oversight, it is proposed the Chair of any Audit Committee be required to be an independent person who is not on council or an employee of the local government. Audit Committees would also need to consider proactive risk management. To reduce costs, it is proposed that local governments should be able to establish shared Regional Audit Committees. The Committees would be able to include council members but would be required to include a majority of independent members and an independent chairperson. 	 Current WALGA Position Do not support majority independent members of the Audit Committee Support Audit Committees of Local Government with an Elected Member majority including independent members, and to consider proactive risk management issues. Shire of Boddington Position Partially Supported The majority of independent members of the Audit Committee is not supported. A size and scale approach is suggested in relation to the Chair/membership of an Audit Committee. The proposal may be more appropriate for Tier 1 and 2 local governments. Of specific impact is the availability of regional Audit Committees to recruit a Chair and / or members, with relevant skills and availability. Adding an independent chair in rural areas will add cost and questionable benefit. It should be optional and scalable. Audit Committees considering pro-active risk management is supported.	
6.7 Building Upgrade Finance			

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
 The local government sector has sought reforms that would enable local governments to provide loans to property owners to finance for building improvements. This is not currently provided for under the Act. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 Reforms would allow local governments to provide loans to third parties for specific building improvements - such as cladding, heritage and green energy fixtures. This would allow local governments to lend funds to improve buildings within their district. Limits and checks and balances would be established to ensure that financial risks are proactively managed. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported	
6.8 Cost of Waste Service to be Specified on Rates Notices			
 No requirement for separation of waste changes on rates notice. Disclosure will increase ratepayer awareness of waste costs. The Review Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 It is proposed that waste charges are required to be separately shown on rate notices (for all properties which receive a waste service). This would provide transparency and awareness of costs for ratepayers. 	Current WALGA Position Supported Shire of Boddington Position Supported	