

SUBMISSION BY THE SHIRE OF BROOKTON TO THE

DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, SPORT AND

CULTURAL INDUSTRIES ON

THE PROPOSED LOCAL GOVERNMENT REFORMS

20 January 2022

PO Box 42, 14 White Street, Brookton WA 6306

9642 110

mail@brookton.wa.gov.au

www.brookton.wa.gov.a

А



Theme 1: Early Intervention, Effective Regulation and Stronger Penalties

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 1.1 Early Intervention Powers The Act provides the means to regulate the conduct of local government staff and council members and sets out powers to scrutinise the affairs of local government. The Act provides certain limited powers to: Suspend or dismiss councils Appoint Commissioners Suspend or, order remedial action (such as training) for individual councillors. The Act also provides the Director General with the power to: Conduct Authorised Inquiries Refer allegations of serious or recurrent breaches to the State Administrative Tribunal Commence prosecution for an offence under the Act. 	 It is proposed to establish a Chief Inspector of Local Government (the Inspector), supported by an Office of the Local Government Inspector (the Inspectorate). The Inspector would receive minor and serious complaints about elected members. The Inspector would oversee complaints relating to local government CEOs. Local Governments would still be responsible for dealing with minor behavioural complaints. The Inspector would have powers of a standing inquiry, able to investigate and intervene in any local government where potential issues are identified. The Inspector would have the authority to assess, triage, refer, investigate, or close complaints, having regard to various public interest criteria – considering laws such as the <i>Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003</i>, the <i>Occupational Safety and Health Act 1984</i>, the <i>Building Act 2011</i>, and other legislation. 	 <u>Council Comment</u> 1. Supports the proposed reforms as they align with the sectors position on external oversight and support. 2. Request the Minister to explore alternate mechanisms for resolving minor behavioural complaints.
 recurrent breaches to the State Administrative Tribunal Commence prosecution for an offence under the Act. Authorised Inquiries are a costly and a relatively slow response to significant issues. Authorised Inquiries are 	laws such as the <i>Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act</i> 2003, the <i>Occupational Safety and Health Act</i> 1984, the <i>Building Act</i> 2011, and other legislation.	
 currently the only significant tool for addressing significant issues within a local government. The Panel Report, City of Perth Inquiry, and the Select Committee Report made various recommendations related to the establishment of a specific office for local government oversight. 	 local government to address non-compliance with the Act or Regulations. The Inspector would be supported by a panel of Local Government Monitors (see item 1.2). The existing Local Government Standards Panel would be replaced with a new Conduct Panel (see item 1.3). Penalties for breaches to the Local Government Act and Regulations will be reviewed and are proposed to be generally strengthened (see item 1.4). 	

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	• These reforms would be supported by new powers to more quickly resolve issues within local government (see items 1.5 and 1.6).	
1.2 Local Government Monitors		
 There are currently no legislative powers for the provision of monitors/ temporary advisors. The DLGSC provides support and advice to local governments, however there is no existing mechanism for prequalified, specialised assistance to manage complex cases. 	 A panel of Local Government Monitors would be established. Monitors could be appointed by the Inspector to go into a local government and try to resolve problems. The purpose of Monitors would be to proactively fix problems, rather than to identify blame or collect evidence. Monitors would be qualified specialists, such as: Experienced and respected former Mayors, Presidents, and CEOs - to act as mentors and facilitators Dispute resolution experts - to address the breakdown of professional working relationships Certified Practicing Accountants and other financial specialists - to assist with financial management and reporting issues Governance specialists and lawyers - to assist councils resolve legal issues HR and procurement experts - to help with processes like recruiting a CEO or undertaking a major land transaction. Only the Inspector would have the power to appoint Monitors. Local governments would be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitors for a specific purpose. Monitor Case Study 1 – Financial Management is not collecting rates correctly under the Local 	Council Comment Supports the proposed reforms.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	<i>Government Act 1995.</i> Upon initial review, the Inspector identifies that there may be a problem. The Inspector appoints a Monitor who specialises in financial management in local government. The Monitor visits the local government and identifies that the system used to manage rates is not correctly issuing rates notices. The Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers.	
	Monitor Case Study 2 – Dispute Resolution	
	The Inspector receives a complaint from one councillor that another councillor is repeatedly publishing derogatory personal attacks against another councillor on social media, and that the issue has not been able to be resolved at the local government level. The Inspector identifies that there has been a relationship breakdown between the two councillors due to a disagreement on council.	
	The Inspector appoints a Monitor to host mediation sessions between the councillors. The Monitor works with the councillors to address the dispute. Through regular meetings, the councillors agree to a working relationship based on the council's code of conduct. After the mediation, the Monitor occasionally makes contact with both councillors to ensure there is a cordial working relationship between the councillors.	
1.3 Conduct Panel		
The Local Government Standards Panel was established in 2007 to resolve minor breach complaints relatively quickly and provide the sector with guidance and benchmarks about acceptable standards of behaviour.	 The Standards Panel is proposed to be replaced with a new Local Government Conduct Panel. The Conduct Panel would be comprised of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. Sitting councillors will not be eligible to serve on the Conduct Panel. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 Currently, the Panel makes findings about alleged breaches based on written submissions. The City of Perth Inquiry report made various recommendations that functions of the Local Government Standards Panel be reformed. 	 The Inspector would provide evidence to the Conduct Panel for adjudication. The Conduct Panel would have powers to impose stronger penalties – potentially including being able to suspend councillors for up to three months, with an appeal mechanism. For very serious or repeated breaches of the Local Government Act, the Conduct Panel would have the power to recommend prosecution through the courts. Any person who is subject to a complaint before the Conduct Panel would have the right to address the Conduct Panel before the Panel makes a decision. 	
1.4 Review of Penalties		
There are currently limited penalties in the Act for certain types of non- compliance with the Local Government Act.	proposed to be strengthened.	Council Comment Supports in general the proposed reforms but does not support any proposal that may include standing down the Councillor whilst the investigation is being undertaken.
1.5 Rapid Red Card Resolutions		
• Currently, local governments have different local laws and standing orders that govern the way meetings run.	• It is proposed that Standing Orders are made consistent across Western Australia (see item 2.6).	

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 Presiding members (Mayors and Presidents) are reliant on the powers provided in the local government standing orders local laws. Differences between local governments is a source of confusion about the powers that presiding members have to deal with disruptive behaviours at council meetings. Disruptive behaviour at council meetings is a very common cause of complaints. Having the Presiding Member be able to deal with these problems should more quickly resolve problems that occur at council meetings. 	 Published recordings of all meetings would also become standard (item 3.1). It is proposed that Presiding Members have the power to "red card" any attendee (including councillors) who unreasonably and repeatedly interrupt council meetings. This power would: Require the Presiding Member to issue a clear first warning If the disruptions continue, the Presiding Member will have the power to "red card" that person, who must be silent for the rest of the meeting. A councillor issued with a red card will still vote, but must not speak or move motions If the person continues to be disruptive, the Presiding Member can instruct that they leave the meeting. Any Presiding Member who uses the "red card" or ejection power will be required to notify the Inspector. Where an elected member refuses to comply with an instruction to be silent or leave, or where it can be demonstrated that the presiding member has not followed the law in using these powers, penalties can be imposed through a review by the Inspector. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms. The Local Government sector has long-standing advocacy positions supporting stronger penalties as a deterrent to disruptive Council Member behaviours. Clear guidance will be required to ensure there is consistent application of the power given to Presiding Members.
1.6 Vexatious Complaint Referrals		
 No current provisions. The Act already provides a requirement for Public Question Time at council meetings. 	 Local governments already have a general responsibility to provide ratepayers and members of the public with assistance in responding to queries about the local government's operations. Local governments should resolve queries and complaints in a respectful, transparent and equitable manner. Unfortunately, local government resources can become unreasonably diverted when a person makes repeated 	Council CommentSupports the proposed reforms and provides the following comments.1. TheInformation Commissioner should also have the power to declare repeated FOI requests as vexatious.

CURRENT PROVISIONS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 vexatious queries, especially after a local government has already provided a substantial response to the person's query. It is proposed that if a person makes repeated complaints to a local government CEO that are vexatious, the CEO will have the power to refer that person's complaints to the Inspectorate, which after assessment of the facts may then rule the complaint vexatious. 	2. Legislation to include the ability of a person to lodge an appeal with the Inspector.
1.7 Minor Other Reforms		
 Other minor reforms are being considered to enhance the oversight of local government. Ministerial Circulars have traditionally been used to provide guidance to the local government sector. 	 Potential other reforms to strengthen guidance for local governments are being considered. For example, one option being considered is the potential use of sector-wide guidance notices. Guidance notices could be published by the Minister or Inspector, to give specific direction for how local governments should meet the requirements of the Local Government Act and Regulations. For instance, the Minister could publish guidance notices to clarify the process for how potential conflicts of interests should be managed. It is also proposed (see item 1.1) that the Inspector has the power to issue notices to individual local governments to require them to rectify non-compliance with the Act or Regulations. 	Council CommentSupports the proposed reforms however Council does not support the Minister being the author/publisher of guidance notices.Initial guidance notices should be published by the DG, Dept of Local Government, with subsequent corrective guidance notices coming from the Inspector.Any guidance advice/notices provided should recognise its resourcing implications

Theme 2: Reducing Red Tape, Increasing Consistency and Simplicity

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
2.1 Resource Sharing		
 The Act does not currently include specific provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing – especially for sharing CEOs. Regional local governments would benefit from having clearer mechanisms for voluntary resource-sharing. 	local governments, especially smaller regional local governments, to share resources, including Chief Executive Officers and senior employees.	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms which should be expanded to include the sharing of all staff and systems (i.e. payroll, finance, ICT etc.)
2.2 Standardisation of Crossovers		
 Approvals and standards for crossovers (the section of driveways that run between the kerb and private property) are inconsistent between local government areas, often with very minor differences. This can create confusion and complexity for homeowners and small businesses in the construction sector. 	<i>Local Provisions) Regulations 1996</i> to standardise the process for approving crossovers for residential properties and residential developments on local roads.	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reform but need to ensure a 'one size fits all' standard is not adopted. Need to acknowledge that a crossover in Stirling, is not the same as a crossover in Brookton.
2.3 Introduce Innovation Provisions		
• The <i>Local Government Act</i> 1995 currently has very limited provisions to allow for innovations and responses to emergencies to (such as the Shire of Bruce Rock Supermarket).	 New provisions are proposed to allow exemptions from certain requirements of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i>, for: Short-term trials and pilot projects Urgent responses to emergencies. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
2.4 Streamline Local Laws		
 Local laws are required to be reviewed every eight years. The review of local laws (especially when they are standard) has been identified as a burden for the sector. Inconsistency between local laws is frustrating for residents and business stakeholders. 	 It is proposed that local laws would only need to be reviewed by the local government every 15 years. Local laws not reviewed in the timeframe would lapse, meaning that old laws will be automatically removed and no longer applicable. Local governments adopting Model Local Laws will have reduced advertising requirements. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms and proposal to move to a review every 15 years. The Model Local Laws should also be reviewed on a regular basis, to stay relevant, and not lead to individual local governments undertaking amendments.
2.5 Simplifying Approvals for Small Business and	Community Events	
• Inconsistency between local laws and approvals processes for events, street activation, and initiatives by local businesses is frustrating for business and local communities.	 Proposed reforms would introduce greater consistency for approvals for: alfresco and outdoor dining minor small business signage rules running community events. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.
2.6 Standardised Meeting Procedures, Inc	cluding Public Question Time	
 Local governments currently prepare individual standing order local laws. The Local Government Act 1995 and regulations require local governments to allocate time at meetings for questions from the public. Inconsistency among the meeting procedures between local governments is a common source of complaints. 	 To provide greater clarity for ratepayers and applicants for decisions made by council, it is proposed that the meeting procedures and standing orders for all local government meetings, including for public question time, are standardised across the State. Regulations would introduce standard requirements for public question time, and the procedures for meetings generally. Members of the public across all local governments would have the same opportunities to address council and ask questions. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
2.7 Regional Subsidiaries		
 Initiatives by multiple local governments may be managed through formal Regional Councils, or through less formal "organisations of councils", such as NEWROC and WESROC. These initiatives typically have to be managed by a lead local government. In 2016-17, provisions were introduced to allow for the formation of Regional Subsidiaries. Regional Subsidiaries can be formed in line with the Local Government (Regional Subsidiaries) Regulations 2017. So far, no Regional Subsidiary has been formed. 	 Subsidiaries can be best established to: Enable Regional Subsidiaries to provide a clear and defined public benefit for people within member local governments Provide for flexibility and innovation while ensuring appropriate transparency and accountability of ratepayer funds 	Council Comment Supports the proposed reforms.

Theme 3: Greater Transparency & Accountability

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming of All	Council Meetings	
 Currently, local governments are only required to make written minutes of meetings. While there is no legal requirement for livestreaming or video or audio recording of council meetings, many local governments now stream and record their meetings. Complaints relating to behaviours and decisions at meetings constitute a large proportion of complaints about local governments. Local governments are divided into bands with the largest falling in bands 1 and 2, and smaller local governments falling bands 3 and 4. The allocation of local governments into bands is determined by The Salaries and Allowances Tribunal based on factors¹ such as: Growth and development Strategic planning issues Demands and diversity of services provided to the community 	as YouTube, Microsoft Teams, and Vimeo to stream and publish meeting recordings.	Council CommentCouncil generally supports the proposed reforms with the following additional comment.1. Given the potential cost impact to smaller local government to retain the audio recordings a reasonable time frame should be set for their retention.2. Live streaming of meetings should not encourage attendance remotely by Councillors and attendance in-person should be the default position.3. Forwarding the recorded confidential part of the meeting to the Department for record keeping appears to be an unnecessary impost with no valid reason given for this proposal.4. Ifmeetings are
 Total expenditure Population Staffing levels. 	 All council meeting recordings would need to be published at the same time as the meeting minutes. 	 If meetings are livestreamed why would there be a requirement to publish the recording when the minutes are published.

¹ See page 3 of the <u>2018 Salaries and Allowance Tribunal Determination</u>

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	Recordings of all confidential items would also need to be submitted to the DLGSC for archiving.	
3.2 Recording All Votes in Council Minute	es	
 A local government is only required to record which councillor voted for or against a motion in the minutes of that meeting if a request is made by an elected member at the time of the resolution during the meeting. The existing provision does not mandate transparency. 	 To support the transparency of decision-making by councillors, it is proposed that the individual votes cast by all councillors for all council resolutions would be required to be published in the council minutes, and identify those for, against, on leave, absent or who left the chamber. Regulations would prescribe how votes are to be consistently minuted. 	 <u>Council Comment</u> Proposed reforms not supported for following reasons. 1. As an Elected Member, they are required (under roles and responsibilities) to support the decisions of Council. 2. If someone feels strongly, they can request their vote/name to be recorded. 3. If the vote for/against is recorded, it may become difficult for a Councillor to defend the decision of Council, if they voted against the item. 4. May cause conflict with community members.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS

PROPOSED REFORMS

COMMENTS

3.3 Clearer Guidance for Meeting Items that may be Confidential

ore clourer calculor for mooting tome a		
 The Act currently provides broad definitions of what type of matters may be discussed as a confidential item. There is limited potential for review of issues managed as confidential items under the current legislation. 	decision-making, it is considered that confidential meetings and confidential meeting items should only be used in limited, specific circumstances.	Council Comment Supports the proposed reforms.
3.4 Additional Online Registers		
 Local governments are required to provide information to the community through annual reports, council minutes and the publication of information online. Consistent online publication of information can substitute for certain material in annual reports. Consistency in online reporting across the sector will provide ratepayers with better information. These registers supplement the simplification of financial statements in Theme 6. 	 It is proposed to require local governments to report specific information in online registers on the local government's website. Regulations would prescribe the information to be included. The following new registers, each updated quarterly, are proposed: Lease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee) Community Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the local government Interests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected members about their interests related to matters considered by council Applicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such 	Council Comment Supports the proposed reforms but would not support 'contracts of employment' being included online.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 as cash-in-lieu for public open space and car parking Contracts Register that discloses all contracts above \$100,000. 	
3.5 Chief Executive Officer Key Performa	nce Indicators (KPIs) be Published	
 It is a requirement of the <i>Local Government Act 1995</i> that CEO performance reviews are conducted annually. The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement between both parties. 	 mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs: Be published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period) The KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the 	Council Comment Council would support the IPR (strategic direction) KPI's being published online but don't support the results of the CEO performance reviews being published online. KPI's published online, have no background, knowledge of how they are prepared and so could result in unnecessary criticism from the community. Could result in the performance review being undertaken by the community, not the Council.

Theme 4: Stronger Local Democracy and Community Engagement

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
4.1 Community and Stakeholder Engager	nent Charters	
 There is currently no requirement for local governments to have a specific engagement charter or policy. Many local governments have introduced charters or policies for how they will engage with their community. Other States have introduced a specific requirement for engagement charters. 	 It is proposed to introduce a requirement for local governments to prepare a community and stakeholder engagement charter which sets out how local government will communicate processes and decisions with their community. A model Charter would be published to assist local governments who wish to adopt a standard form. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.
4.2 Ratepayer Satisfaction Surveys (Band	I 1 and 2 local governments only)	
 Many local governments already commission independent surveying consultants to hold a satisfaction survey of residents/ratepayers. These surveys provide valuable data on the performance of local governments. 	four years, all local governments in bands 1 and 2 hold an independently-managed ratepayer satisfaction survey.	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.
4.3 Introduction of Preferential Voting		
 The current voting method for local government elections is first past the post. The existing first-past-the-post does not allow for electors to express more than one preference. The candidate with the most votes win, even if that candidate does not have a majority. 	method to replace the current first past the post system in local government elections.	Council Comment Council does not support the introduction of preferential voting as it considers that the existing first past the post voting for its simplicity and fundamental apolitical nature. Preferential voting will also potentially lead to an increase in informal voting where there is a large number of candidates as people

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
• Preferential voting better captures the precise intentions of voters and as a result may be regarded as a fairer and more representative system. Voters have more specific choice.	• All other states use a form of preferential voting for local government.	wont number all squares on the ballot paper
4.4 Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and Pro	esident	
 The Act currently allows local governments to have the Presiding Member (the Mayor or President) elected either: by the electors of the district through a public vote; or by the council as a resolution at a council meeting. 	 Mayors and Presidents of all local governments perform an important public leadership role within their local communities. Band 1 and 2 local governments generally have larger councils than those in bands 3 and 4. Accordingly, it is proposed that the Mayor or President for all band 1 and 2 councils is to be elected through a vote of the electors of the district. Councils in bands 3 and 4 would retain the current system. A number of Band 1 and Band 2 councils have already moved towards Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and President in recent years, including City of Stirling and City of Rockingham. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Council does not believe the Mayor/President, should be elected on popularity, from electors but by the Councillors whom the person leads. Don't get to vote for the Premier of WA, who is elected by the party.
4.5 Tiered Limits on the Number of Counc	cillors	
 The number of councillors (between 5- 15 councillors) is decided by each local government, reviewed by the Local Government Advisory Board, and if approved by the Minister. The Panel Report recommended electoral reforms to improve representativeness. 	 It is proposed to limit the number of councillors based on the population of the entire local government. Some smaller local governments have already been moving to having smaller councils to reduce costs for ratepayers. The Local Government Panel Report proposed: For a population of up to 5,000 – five councillors (including the President) population of between 5,000 and 75,000 – five to nine councillors (including the Mayor/President) 	<u>Council Comment</u> Under this, the Shire of Brookton would be reduced to 5 elected members, this would be a challenge due to (other commitments, illness, declarations of interest, leave of absences). Council supports a population of up to 5,000 being permitted to have between 5-7 Councillors, whilst supporting the remaining proposed reforms.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 population of above 75,000 – nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor). 	
4.6 No Wards for Small Councils (Band 3	and 4 Councils only)	
 A local government can make an application to be divided into wards, with councillors elected to those wards. Only about 10% of band 3 and 4 local governments currently have wards. 	 It is proposed that the use of wards for councils in bands 3 and 4 is abolished. Wards increase the complexity of elections, as this requires multiple versions of ballot papers to be prepared for a local government's election. In smaller local governments, the population of wards can be very small. These wards often have councillors elected unopposed or elect a councillor with a very small number of votes. Some local governments have ward councillors elected with less than 50 votes. There has been a trend in smaller local governments looking to reduce the use of wards, with only 10 councils in bands 3 and 4 still having wards. 	Council Comment Supports the proposed reforms.
4.7 Electoral Reform – Clear Lease Requi	rements for Candidate and Voter Eligibility	
 A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to nominate as a candidate in that district. A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to apply to vote in that district. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified a number of instances where dubious lease arrangements put to question the validity of candidates in local government elections, and subsequently their legitimacy as councillors. 	 leases" in council elections. Sham leases are where a person creates a lease only to be able to vote or run as a candidate for council. The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified sham leases as an issue. 	Council Comment Supports the proposed reforms.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
 4.8 Reform of Candidate Profiles Candidate profiles can only be 800 characters, including spaces. This is equivalent to approximately 150 words. 	 because any residents are already the eligible voter(s) for that address. Clarifying the minimum criteria for leases eligible to register a person to vote or run for council. The reforms would include minimum lease periods to qualify as a registered business (minimum of 12 months), and the exclusion of home-based businesses (where the resident is already eligible) and very small sub-leases. The basis of eligibility for each candidate (e.g. type of property and suburb of property) is proposed to be published, including in the candidate pack for electors. Further work will be undertaken to evaluate how longer candidate profiles could be accommodated. Longer candidate profiles would provide more information to electors, potentially through publishing profiles online. It is important to have sufficient information available to assist electors make informed decisions when 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.
4.9 Minor Other Electoral Reforms	casting their vote.	
• Other miner referme are proposed to	Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include:	Council Comment
Other minor reforms are proposed to improve local government elections.	 Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include: The introduction of standard processes for vote recounts if there is a very small margin between candidates (e.g. where there is a margin of less than 10 votes a recount will always be required) The introduction of more specific rules concerning local government council candidates' use of electoral rolls. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.

Theme 5: Clear Roles and Responsibilities

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
5.1 Introduce Principles in the Act		
 The Act does not currently outline specific principles. The Act contains a short "Content and Intent" section only. The Panel Report recommended greater articulation of principles 	 It is proposed to include new principles in the Act, including: The recognition of Aboriginal Western Australians Tiering of local governments (with bands being as assigned by the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal) Community Engagement Financial Management. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.
5.2 Greater Role Clarity		
 The Act provides for the role of council, councillor, mayor or president and CEO. The role of the council is to: govern the local government's affairs be responsible for the performance of the local government's functions. 	 The Local Government Act Review Panel recommended that roles and responsibilities of elected members and senior staff be better defined in law. It is proposed that these roles and responsibilities are further defined in the legislation. These proposed roles will be open to further consultation and input. These roles would be further strengthened through Council Communications Agreements (see item 5.3). 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.
	5.2.1 - Mayor or President Role	Council Comment
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Mayor or President is responsible for: 	Supports the proposed reforms.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Representing and speaking on behalf of the whole council and the local government, at all times being consistent with the resolutions of council Facilitating the democratic decision-making of council by presiding at council meetings in accordance with the Act Developing and maintaining professional working relationships between councillors and the CEO Performing civic and ceremonial duties on behalf of the local government Working effectively with the CEO and councillors in overseeing the delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government. 	
	5.2.2 - Council Role	Council Comment
	 It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Council, which is the entity consisting of all of the councillors and led by the Mayor or President. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Council is responsible for: Making significant decisions and determining policies through democratic deliberation at council meetings Ensuring the local government is adequately 	Supports the proposed reforms. Not sure how sub dot point 3 (provide a safe working environment) will be enforced as currently: a) Councillors only have a 'duty of care'. b) Councillors don't have responsibilities under the current Work Safe legislation The Council role should also include
	 Ensuring the local government is adequately resourced to deliver the local governments operations, services and functions - including all functions that support informed decision-making by council Providing a safe working environment for the CEO; Providing strategic direction to the CEO; 	a specific reference to the recruiting and employing the CEO.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Monitoring and reviewing the performance of the local government. 	
	5.2.3 - Elected Member (Councillor) Role	Council Comment
	 5.2.3 - Elected Member (Councillor) Role It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all elected councillors. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that every elected councillor is responsible for: Considering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward) Positively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council Applying relevant law and policy in contributing to the decision-making of the council Engaging in the effective forward planning and 	Overall supports the proposed reforms but notes that there is no reference to the requirement for Councillors to 'support the decisions of the Council' which will be important when communicating the decisions of the Council to the community (sub dot point 5).
	review of the local governments' resources, and the performance of its operations, services, and functions	
	 Communicating the decisions and resolutions of council to stakeholders and the public Developing and maintaining professional working relationships with all other councillors and the CEO Maintaining and developing their knowledge and skills relevant to local government Facilitating public engagement with local government. It is proposed that elected members should not be able to use their title (e.g. "Councillor", "Mayor", or 	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	"President") and associated resources of their office (such as email address) unless they are performing their role in their official capacity.	
	5.2.4 - CEO Role	Council Comment
	 The Local Government Act 1995 requires local governments to employ a CEO to run the local government administration and implement the decisions of council. To provide greater clarity, it is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all local government CEOs. While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the CEO of a local government is responsible for: Coordinating the professional advice and assistance necessary for all elected members to enable the council to perform its decision-making functions Facilitating the implementation of council decisions Ensuring functions and decisions lawfully delegated by council are managed prudently on behalf of the council Managing the effective delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government determined by the council Providing timely and accurate information and advice to all councillors in line with the Council Communications Agreement (see item 5.3) 	Council comment Overall supports the proposed reforms but notes that there is no reference that the CEO is responsible for the recruitment, and performance management of all staff.as indicated in the current Act/Regulations.
	 Overseeing the compliance of the operations of the local government with State and Federal legislation on behalf of the council 	

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 Implementing and maintaining systems to enable effective planning, management, and reporting on behalf of the council. 	
5.3 Council Communication Agreements		
 The Act provides that council and committee members can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the member in their functions. The availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments. 	 In State Government, there are written Communication Agreements between Ministers and agencies that set standards for how information and advice will be provided. It is proposed that local governments will need to have Council Communications Agreements between the council and the CEO. These Council Communication Agreements would clearly specify the information that is to be provided to councillors, how it will be provided, and the timeframes for when it will be provided. A template would be published by DLGSC. This default template will come into force if a council and CEO do not make a specific other agreement within a certain timeframe following any election. 	Council Comment Overall supports the proposed reforms providing the agreement relevant to the size and scale of the community and a 'one size fits all' approach is not taken.
5.4 Local Governments May Pay Superan	nuation Contributions for Elected Members	
 Elected members are eligible to receive sitting fees or an annual allowance. Superannuation is not paid to elected members. However, councillors can currently divert part of their allowances to a superannuation fund. Councils should be reflective and representative of the people living within the district. Local governments should be empowered to remove any barriers to 	 It is proposed that local governments should be able to decide, through a vote of council, to pay superannuation contributions for elected members. These contributions would be additional to existing allowances. Superannuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. Other states have already moved to allow councils to make superannuation contributions for councillors. Allowing council to provide superannuation is important part of encouraging equality for people 	Council Comment Supports the proposed discretionary approach which will allow Local Governments to exercise general competence powers to make their own determination on paying superannuation to Councillors.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
the participation of gender and age diverse people on councils.	 represented on council – particularly for women and younger people. Providing superannuation to councillors recognises that the commitment to elected office can reduce a person's opportunity to undertake employment and earn superannuation contributions. 	
5.5 Local Governments May Establish Ed	ucation Allowances	
 Local government elected members must complete mandatory training. There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education. 	 Local governments will have the option of contributing to the education expenses for councillors, up to a defined maximum value, for tuition costs for further education that is directly related to their role on council. Councils will be able to decide on a policy for education expenses, up to a maximum yearly value for each councillor. Councils may also decide not to make this entitlement available to elected members. Any allowance would only be able to be used for tuition fees for courses, such as training programs, diplomas, and university studies, which relate to local government. Where it is made available, this allowance will help councillors further develop skills to assist with making informed decisions on important questions before council, and also provide professional development opportunities for councillors. 	Council Comment Supports the proposed reforms.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS

PROPOSED REFORMS

COMMENTS

5.6 Standardised Election Caretaker period

 There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with individual councils operating under their own policies and procedures. This is commonly a point of public confusion. 	proposed.	Council Comment Council supports the proposal for Council to be not making major decisions (as defined) during a Caretaker period but are not supportive of the other proposals as it considers that it would be overly restrictive on existing Councillors, especially the President, in smaller rural local governments. Council considers that Councillors should still be able to represent the local government and act on behalf of the Council (representing the community), but not be permitted to use the event as a platform to campaign for re-election.
 5.7 Remove WALGA from the Act The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is constituted under the <i>Local Government</i> <i>Act 1995.</i> The Local Government Panel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation. 	 The Local Government Panel Report recommended that WALGA not be constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. Separating WALGA out of the Act will provide clarity that WALGA is not a State Government entity. 	Council Comment Council does not have a position on this matter but would support WALGA doing its own due diligence on the proposal.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
5.8 CEO Recruitment		
 Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment. The recruitment of a CEO is a very important decision by a local government. 	 It is proposed that DLGSC establishes a panel of approved panel members to perform the role of the independent person on CEO recruitment panels. Councils will be able to select an independent person from the approved list. Councils will still be able to appoint people outside of the panel with the approval of the Inspector. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Generally, supports the proposed reforms, however, does have some concerns around dot point #2 and the potential cost implications to the smaller local governments in the regional areas.

Theme 6: Improved Financial Management and Reporting

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS	
6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiere	6.1 Model Financial Statements and Tiered Financial Reporting		
 The financial statements published in the Annual Report is the main financial reporting currently published by local governments. Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantle) and small (Sandstone, Wiluna, Dalwallinu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous - for instance, information that is not relevant to certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published information. 	 accountability in local government. The public rightly expects the highest standards of integrity, good governance, and prudent financial management in local government. It is critically important that clear information about the financial position of local governments is openly available to ratepayers. Financial information also supports community decision-making about local government services and projects. Local governments differ significantly in the complexity of their operations. Smaller local governments generally have much less operating complexity than larger local governments. 		

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 current annual reports. Standard templates will be published for use by local governments. Simpler Strategic and Financial Planning (item 6.2) would also improve the budgeting process. 	
6.2 Simplify Strategic and Financial Plan	ning	
 Requirements for plans are outlined in the Local Government Financial Management and Administration Regulations. There is also the Integrated Planning and Reporting (IPR) framework. While many councils successfully apply IPR to their budgeting and reporting, IPR may seem complicated or difficult, especially for smaller local governments. 	 Having clear information about the finances of local government is an important part of enabling informed public and ratepayer engagement and input to decision-making. The framework for financial planning should be based around information being clear, transparent, and easy to understand for all ratepayers and members of the public. In order to provide more consistency and clarity across the State, it is proposed that greater use of templates is introduced to make planning and reporting clearer and simpler, providing greater transparency for ratepayers. Local governments would be required to adopt a standard set of plans, and there will be templates published by the DLGSC for use or adaption by local governments. It is proposed that the plans that are required are: Simplified Council Plans that replace existing Strategic Community Plans and set high-level objectives, with a new plan required at least every eight years. These will be short-form plans, with a template available from the DLGSC Simplified Asset Management Plans to consistently forecast costs of maintaining the local government's assets. A new plan will be required at least every ten years, though local governments 	 <u>Council Comment</u> Council does not support these proposed reforms due to the following concerns. 1. The proposed reference to innovation and community input into the strategic plans of Council are proposed as a 'one size fits all' and will become a tick-box exercise and not be specifically relevant to the local community. 2. Long term financial plans need to be 15 years, not 10, as the current requirement for 10 years does not allow for compliance with the Debt Service Ratio' reporting 3. Rates and Revenue Policy – Is another resource reporting requirement burden on smaller local governments for questionable benefits.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
	 should update the plan regularly if the local government gains or disposes of major assets (e.g. land, buildings, or roads). A template will be provided, and methods of valuations will be simplified to reduce red tape Simplified Long Term Financial Plans will outline any long term financial management and sustainability issues, and any investments and debts. A template will be provided, and these plans will be required to be reviewed in detail at least every four years A new Rates and Revenue Policy (see item 6.3) that identifies the approximate value of rates that will need to be collected in future years (referencing the Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan) – providing a forecast to ratepayers (updated at least every four years) The use of simple, one-page Service Proposals and Project Proposals that outline what proposed services or initiatives will cost, to be made available through council meetings. These will become Service Plans and Project Plans and Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan	
6.3 Rates and Revenue Policy		
 Local governments are not required to have a rates and revenue policy. Some councils defer rate rises, resulting in the eventual need to drastically raise 	• The Rates and Revenue Policy is proposed to increase transparency for ratepayers by linking rates to basic operating costs and the minimum costs for maintaining essential infrastructure.	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
rates to cover unavoidable costs – especially for the repair of infrastructure.	 A Rates and Revenue Policy would be required to provide ratepayers with a forecast of future costs of providing local government services. The Policy would need to reflect the Asset Management Plan and the Long Term Financial Plan (see item 6.2), providing a forecast of what rates would need to be, to cover unavoidable costs. A template would be published for use or adaption by all local governments. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	
.4 Monthly Reporting of Credit Card Stat	ements	
No legislative requirement. Disclosure requirements brought in by individual councils have shown significant reduction of expenditure of funds.	 The statements of a local government's credit cards used by local government employees will be required to be tabled at council at meetings on a monthly basis. This provides oversight of incidental local government spending. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.
6.5 Amended Financial Ratios		
 Local governments are required to report seven ratios in their annual financial statements. These are reported on the MyCouncil website. These ratios are intended to provide an indication of the financial health of every local government. 	 Financial ratios will be reviewed in detail, building on work already underway by the DLGSC. The methods of calculating ratios and indicators will be reviewed to ensure that the results are accurate and useful. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.

CURRENT REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSED REFORMS	COMMENTS
6.6 Audit Committees		
 Local governments must establish an Audit Committee that has three or more persons, with the majority to be council members. The Audit Committee is to guide and assist the local government in carrying out the local government's functions in relation to audits conducted under the Act. The Panel Report identified that Audit Committees should be expanded, including to provide improved risk management. 	 Chair of any Audit Committee be required to be an independent person who is not on council or an employee of the local government. Audit Committees would also need to consider proactive risk management. To reduce costs, it is proposed that local governments should be able to establish shared Regional Audit Committees. 	Council CommentCouncil does not support a majority of the Audit Committee, and the chair, being independent members. Councillors should be the majority on the Audit Committee as it currently struggles to have one or two independent members for the existing audit committeeCouncil supports the opportunity to share a Regional Audit Committee with neighbouring local governments.
6.7 Building Upgrade Finance		
 The local government sector has sought reforms that would enable local governments to provide loans to property owners to finance for building improvements. This is not currently provided for under the Act. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 Reforms would allow local governments to provide loans to third parties for specific building improvements - such as cladding, heritage and green energy fixtures. This would allow local governments to lend funds to improve buildings within their district. Limits and checks and balances would be established to ensure that financial risks are proactively managed. 	Council Comment Supports the proposed reforms.
6.8 Cost of Waste Service to be Specified on Rates Notices		
 No requirement for separation of waste changes on rates notice. Disclosure will increase ratepayer awareness of waste costs. The Review Panel Report included this recommendation. 	 It is proposed that waste charges are required to be separately shown on rate notices (for all properties which receive a waste service). This would provide transparency and awareness of costs for ratepayers. 	<u>Council Comment</u> Supports the proposed reforms.