Local Government Reform - Summary of Proposed Reforms Shire of Wagin Responses

	Current Provisions	Proposed Reform	Commanda	Chira of Mania P
	Theme 1: Early Intervention, Effective Regu		Comments	Shire of Wagin Response
1.1 Early Intervention Powers	local government staff and council members and sets out powers to scrutinise the affairs of local government. The Act provides certain limited powers to: - Suspend or dismiss councils - Appoint Commissioners - Suspend or, order remedial action (such as training) for individual councillors. - The Act also provides the Director General with the pow to: - Conduct Authorised Inquiries - Refer allegations of serious or recurrent breaches to the State Administrative Tribunal - Commence prosecution for an offence under the Act Authorised Inquiries are a costly and a relatively sio response to significant issues. Authorised Inquiries are currently the only significant issues mithin a local government The Panel Report, City of	•The Inspector would also have the power to order a local government to address non-compliance with the Act or Regulations. The Inspector would be supported by a panel of Local Government Monitors (see item 1.2). •The existing Local Government Standards Panel would be replaced with a new		Supported
1.2 Local Government Monitors	There are currently no legislative powers for the provision of monitors/ temporary advisors. The DLGSC provides support and advice to local governments, however there is no existing mechanism for pre-qualified, specialised assistance to manage complex cases.	-A panel of Local Government Monitors would be established. -Monitors could be appointed by the Inspector to go into a local government and try to resolve problems. -The purpose of Monitors would be to proactively fix problems, rather than to identify bame or collect evidence. -Monitors would be qualified specialists, such as: oExperienced and respected former Mayors, Presidents, and CEOs - to act as mentors and facilitators oDispute resolution experts - to address the breakdown of professional working relationships oCertified Practicing Accountants and other financial specialists - to assist with financial management and reporting issues oGovernance specialists and lawyers - to assist councils resolve legal issues oHR and procurement experts - to help with processes like recruiting a CEO or undertaking a major land transaction. -Only the Inspector would have the power to appoint Monitors. -Local governments would be able to make requests to the Inspector to appoint Monitor for a specific purpose. Monitor Case Study 1 – Financial Management The Inspector receives information that a local government is not collecting rates correctly under the Local Government Act 1995. Upon initial review, the Inspector identifies that there may be a problem. The Inspector appoints a Monitor who specialises in financial management in local government. The Monitor visits the local government and identifies that the system used to manage rates is not correctly issuing rates notices. The Monitor works with the local government to rectify the error, and issue corrections to impacted ratepayers.		Supported
1.3 Conduct Panel	in 2007 to resolve minor breach complaints relatively quickly and provide the sector with guidance and benchmarks about acceptable standards of behaviour. •Currently, the Panel makes findings about alleged	Monitor Case Study 2 – Dispute Resolution The Inspector receives a complaint from one councillor that another councillor is repeatedly publishing derogatory personal attacks against another councillor on social media, and that the issue has not been able to be resolved at the local government level. The Inspector identifies that there has been a relationship breakdown between the two councillors due to a disagreement on council. The Inspector appoints a Monitor to host mediation sessions between the councillors. The Monitor works with the councillors to address the dispute. Through regular meetings, the councillors agree to a working relationship based on the council s code of conduct. After the mediation, the Monitor occasionally makes contact with both councillors to ensure there is a cordial working relationship between the councillors. *The Standards Panel is proposed to be replaced with a new Local Government Conduct Panel. *The Conduct Panel would be comprised of suitably qualified and experienced professionals. Sitting councillors will not be eligible to serve on the Conduct Panel. *The Inspector would provide evidence to the Conduct Panel for adjudication.		Supported
1.4 Review of Penalties	breaches based on written submissions. *The City of Perth Inquiry report made various recommendations that functions of the Local Government Standards Panel be reformed. There are currently limited penalties in the Act for certain types of non-compliance with the Local Government Act.	- The Conduct Panel would have powers to impose stronger penalties – potentially including being able to suspend councillors for up to three months, with an appeal mechanism. - For very serious or repeated breaches of the Local Government Act, the Conduct Panel would have the power to recommend prosecution through the courts. - Any person who is subject to a complaint before the Conduct Panel would have the right to address the Conduct Panel before the Panel makes a decision - Penalties for breaching the Local Government Act are proposed to be strengthened. - It is proposed that the suspension of councillors (for up to three months) is established as the main penalty where a councillor breaches the Local Government Act or Regulations on more than one occasion. - Councillors who are disqualified would not be eligible for sitting fees or allowances. They will also not be able to attend meetings, or use their official office (such as their title or council email address). - It is proposed that a councillor who is suspended multiple times may become disqualified from office.		

1.5 Rapid Red Card Resolutions	-Currently, local governments have different local laws and standing orders that govern the way meetings run. Presiding members (Mayors and Presidents) are reliant on the powers provided in the local government standing orders local laws. -Differences between local governments is a source of confusion about the powers that presiding members have to deal with disruptive behaviours at council meetings. -Disruptive behaviour at council meetings is a very common cause of complaints. Having the Presiding Member be able to deal with these problems should more quickly resolve problems that occur at council meetings.	It is proposed that Standing Orders are made consistent across Western Australia (see item 2.6). Published recordings of all meetings would also become standard (item 3.1). It is proposed that Presiding Members have the power to "red card" any attendee (including councillors) who unreasonably and repeatedly interrupt council meetings. This power would: offequire the Presiding Member to issue a clear first warning off the disruptions continue, the Presiding Member will have the power to "red card" that person, who must be silent for the rest of the meeting. A councillor issued with a red card will still vote, but must not speak or move motions off the person continues to be disruptive, the Presiding Member can instruct that they leave the meeting. *Any Presiding Member who uses the "red card" or ejection power will be required to notify the Inspector. *Vehrer an elected member refuses to comply with an instruction to be silent or leave, or where it can be demonstrated that the presiding member has not followed the law in using these powers, penalties can be imposed through a review by the Inspector.	No objection
1.6 Vexatious Complaints	No current provisions. The Act already provides a requirement for Public Question Time at council meetings.	*Local governments already have a general responsibility to provide ratepayers and members of the public with assistance in responding to queries about the local governments should resolve queries and complaints in a respectful, transparent and equitable manner. *Unfortunately, local government resources can become unreasonably diverted when a person makes repeated vexatious queries, especially after a local government has already provided a substantial response to the person's query. *It is proposed that if a person makes repeated complaints to a local government CEO that are vexatious, the CEO will have the power to refer that person's complaints to the Inspectorate, which after assessment of the facts may then rule the complaint vexatious.	Support
1.7 Minor Other Reforms	•Other minor reforms are being considered to enhance the oversight of local government. *Ministerial Circulars have traditionally been used to provide guidance to the local government sector.	*Potential other reforms to strengthen guidance for local governments are being considered. *For example, one option being considered is the potential use of sector-wide guidance notices. Guidance notices could be published by the Minister or Inspector, to give specific direction for how local governments should meet the requirements of the Local Government Act and Regulations. For instance, the Minister could publish guidance notices to clarify the process for how potential conflicts of interests should be managed. *It is also proposed (see item 1.1) that the Inspector has the power to issue notices to individual local governments to require them to rectify non-compliance with the Act or Regulations.	Support
	Theme 2: Reducing Red Tape, Increasing	Consistency and Simplicity	
2.1 Resource Sharing 2.2 Standardisation of Crossovers	-The Act does not currently include specific provisions to allow for certain types of resource sharing – especially for sharing CEOsRegional local governments would benefit from having clearer mechanisms for voluntary resource-sharing. -Approvals and standards for crossovers (the section of	-Amendments are proposed to encourage and enable local governments, especially smaller regional local governments, to share resources, including Chief Executive Officers and senior employees. -Local governments in bands 2, 3 or 4 would be able to appoint a shared CEO at up to two salary bands above the highest band. For example, a band 3 and a band 4 council sharing a CEO could remunerate to the level of band 1. -It is proposed to amend the Local Government (Uniform Local Provisions)	Supported in principle Supported in principle
	driveways that run between the kerb and private property) are inconsistent between local government areas, often with very minor differences. This can create confusion and complexity for homeowners and small businesses in the construction sector.	Regulations 1996 to standardise the process for approving crossovers for residential properties and residential developments on local roads. **A Crossover Working Group has provided preliminary advice to the Minister and DLGSC to inform this. **The DLGSC will work with the sector to develop standardised design and construction standards.	
2.3 Introduce Innovation Provisions	The Local Government Act 1995 currently has very limited provisions to allow for innovations and responses to emergencies to (such as the Shire of Bruce Rock Supermarket).	New provisions are proposed to allow exemptions from certain requirements of the Local Government Act 1995, for: OShort-term trials and pilot projects oUrgent responses to emergencies.	Supported in principle
2.4 Streamline Local Laws	-Local laws are required to be reviewed every eight years. -The review of local laws (especially when they asstandard) has been identified as a burden for the sector. -inconsistency between local laws is frustrating for residents and business stakeholders.	It is proposed that local laws would only need to be reviewed by the local government every 15 years. -Local laws not reviewed in the timeframe would lapse, meaning that old laws will be automatically removed and no longer applicable. -Local governments adopting Model Local Laws will have reduced advertising requirements.	Agree with points 1 & 3. Do not agree that local laws should expire.
2.5 Simplifying Approvals for Small Business and Community Events	 Inconsistency between local laws and approvals processes for events, street activation, and initiatives by local businesses is frustrating for business and local communities. 	Proposed reforms would introduce greater consistency for approvals for: oalfresco and outdoor dining ominor small business signage rules orunning community events.	Supported in principle
2.6 Standardised Meeting Procedures, Including Public Question Time	-Local governments currently prepare individual standing order local lawsThe Local Government Act 1995 and regulations require local governments to allocate time at meetings for questions from the publicinconsistency among the meeting procedures between local governments is a common source of complaints.	-To provide greater clarity for ratepayers and applicants for decisions made by council, it is proposed that the meeting procedures and standing orders for all local government meetings, including for public question time, are standardised across the State. Regulations would introduce standard requirements for public question time, and the procedures for meetings generally. *Members of the public across all local governments would have the same opportunities to address council and ask questions.	Supported in-principle.
2.7 Regional Subsidiaries Theme 3: Greater Transparency & A	•Initiatives by multiple local governments may be managed through formal Regional Councils, or through less formal "organisations of councils", such as NEWROC and WESROC. •These initiatives typically have to be managed by a lead local government. •In 2016-17, provisions were introduced to allow for the formation of Regional Subsidiaries. Regional Subsidiaries can be formed in line with the Local Government (Regional Subsidiaries) Regulations 2017. •So far, no Regional Subsidiary has been formed.	*Work is continuing to consider how Regional Subsidiaries can be best established to: ocnable Regional Subsidiaries to provide a clear and defined public benefit for people within member local governments oProvide for flexibility and innovation while ensuring appropriate transparency and accountability of ratepayer funds oWhere appropriate, facilitate financing of initiatives by Regional Subsidiaries within a reasonable and defined limit of risk. Ocnsure all employees of a Regional Subsidiary have the same employment conditions as those directly employed by member local governments.	Supported

3.1 Recordings and Live-Streaming	•Currently, local governments are only required to make	•It is proposed that all local governments will be required to record meetings.	Concerned that recording meetings with
of All Council Meetings	written minutes of meetings. 'While there is no legal requirement for livestreaming or video or audio recording of council meetings, many local governments now stream and record their meetings. 'Complaints relating to behaviours and decisions at meetings constitute a large proportion of complaints about local governments. 'Local governments are divided into bands with the largest falling in bands 1 and 2, and smaller local governments falling bands 3 and 4. The allocation of local governments into bands is determined by The Salaries and Allowances Tribunal based on factors such as: oGrowth and development oStrategic planning issues oDemands and diversity of services provided to the community o'Total expenditure oPopulation oStaffing levels.	**Hand 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. **Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. **Band 1 and 2 are larger local governments are generally located in larger urban areas, with generally very good telecommunications infrastructure, and many already have audio-visual equipment. **Band 1 and 2 local governments would be required to livestream meetings, and make video recordings available as public archives. **Several local governments laready use platforms such as YouTube, Microsoft Teams, and Virneo to stream and publish meeting recordings. **Limited exceptions would be made for meetings held outside the ordinary council chambers, where audio recordings may be used. **Recognising their generally smaller scale, typically smaller operating budget, and potential to be in more remote locations, band 3 and 4 local governments would be required to record and publish audio recordings, at a minimum. These local governments would still be encouraged to livestream or video record meetings. **All council meeting recordings would need to be published at the same time as the meeting minutes. Recordings of all confidential items would also need to be submitted to the DLGSC for archiving.	discourage debate. Not supported. Believe it would curtail free discussion and that meetings would become stilled due to some participants feeling self conscious. This may result in members having informal discussions before meetings to determine outcomes and may stifle free debate. At the other end of the spectrum, it may result in some members grandstanding (because they know they have a wider audience to play to) and to use the public exposure as a means to solicit support for reelection and to generally cutilivate a public profile for whatever reason, rather than focussing on the most legitimate outcomes. Likely to create more problems for the sector and its image. Submission of recordings in DL/SeC would be another 'Red Tape' task and smacks of 'tig Brother'. A further disincentive for people to run for Council.
3.2 Recording All Votes in Council Minutes	A local government is only required to record which councillor voted for or against a motion in the minutes of that meeting if a request is made by an elected member at the time of the resolution during the meeting. The existing provision does not mandate transparency.	-To support the transparency of decision-making by councillors, it is proposed that the individual votes cast by all councillors for all council resolutions would be required to be published in the council minutes, and identify those for, against, on leave, absent or who left the chamber. -Regulations would prescribe how votes are to be consistently minuted.	Not supported – Current system has worked well for a long time. State and Federal governments do not record how individual members have voted.
3.3 Clearer Guidance for Meeting Items that may be Confidential	The Act currently provides broad definitions of what type of matters may be discussed as a confidential item. There is limited potential for review of issues managed as confidential items under the current legislation.	Recognising the importance of open and transparent decision-making, it is considered that confidential meetings and confidential meeting items should only be used in limited, specific incruentsances. It is proposed to make the Act more specific in prescribing items that may be confidential, and items that should remain open to the public. Items not prescribed as being confidential could still be held as confidential items only with the prior written consent of the Inspector. All confidential items would be required to be audio recorded, with those recordings submitted to the DLGSC.	Not supported - believed it would e a futher erosion of LG autonomy.
3.4 Additional Online Registers	-Local governments are required to provide information to the community through annual reports, council minutes and the publication of information online. -Consistent online publication of information can substitute for certain material in annual reports. -Consistency in online reporting across the sector will provide ratepayers with better information. -These registers supplement the simplification of financial statements in Theme 6.	It is proposed to require local governments to report specific information in online registers on the local government's website. Regulations would prescribe the information to be included. The following new registers, each updated quarterly, are proposed: olease Register to capture information about the leases the local government is party to (either as lessor or lessee) ocommunity Grants Register to outline all grants and funding provided by the local government ointerests Disclosure Register which collates all disclosures made by elected members about their interests related to matters considered by council oApplicant Contribution Register accounting for funds collected from applicant contributions, such as cash-in-lieu for public open space and car parking oContracts Register that discloses all contracts above \$100,000.	Not supported - more red tape
3.5 Chief Executive Officer Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) be Published	are conducted annually. *The Model Standards for CEO recruitment and selection, performance review and termination require that a local government must review the performance of the CEO against contractual performance criteria. *Additional performance criteria can be used for performance review by agreement between both parties.	To provide for minimum transparency, it is proposed to mandate that the KPIs agreed as performance metrics for CEOs: oBe published in council meeting minutes as soon as they are agreed prior to (before the start of the annual period) oThe KPIs and the results be published in the minutes of the performance review meeting (at the end of the period) oThe CEO has a right to provide written comments to be published alongside the KPIs and results to provide context as may be appropriate (for instance, the impact of events in that year that may have influenced the results against KPIs).	Not supported. Council is the CEO employer, not the community, therefore it is not unreasonable for the CEO's terms of employment to be accountable only to Council and not the community.
4.1 Community and Stakeholder Engagement Charters	There 4: Stronger Local Democracy and *There is currently no requirement for local governments to have a specific engagement charter or policy, *Many local governments have introduced charters or policies for how they will engage with their community. *Other States have introduced a specific requirement for engagement charters.	Community Engagement	Not supported – more red tape that would have limited if any tangible /positive outcomes – particularly in smaller LG's
	-Many local governments already commission independent surveying consultants to hold a satisfaction survey of residents/ratepayers. -These surveys provide valuable data on the performance of local governments.	-It is proposed to introduce a requirement that every four years, all local governments in bands 1 and 2 hold an independently-managed ratepayer satisfaction survey. -Results would be required to be reported publicly at a council meeting and published on the local government's website. -All local governments would be required to publish a response to the results.	Not supported – more red tape and expense
4.3 Introduction of Preferential Voting	-The current voting method for local government elections is first past the postThe existing first-past-the-post does not allow for electors to express more than one preferenceThe candidate with the most votes wins, even if that candidate does not have a majorityPreferential voting better captures the precise intentions of voters and as a result may be regarded as a fairer and more representative system. Voters have more specific choice.	-Preferential voting is proposed be adopted as the method to replace the current first past the post system in local government electionsIn preferential voting, voters number candidates in order of their preferencesPreferential voting is used in State and Federal elections in Western Australia (and in other states). This provides voters with more choice and control over who they electAll other states use a form of preferential voting for local government.	Supported – Believed to have more mathematical integrity in establishing voter intent.
4.4 Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and President	•The Act currently allows local governments to have the Presiding Member (the Mayor or President) elected either: oby the electors of the district through a public vote; or oby the council as a resolution at a council meeting.	-Mayors and Presidents of all local governments perform an important public leadership role within their local communitiesBand 1 and 2 local governments generally have larger councils than those in bands 3 and 4*Accordingly, it is proposed that the Mayor or President for all band 1 and 2 councils is to be elected through a vote of the electors of the district. Councils in bands 3 and 4 would retain the current system*A number of Band 1 and Band 2 councils have already moved towards Public Vote to Elect the Mayor and President in recent years, including City of Stirling and City of Rockingham.	Not supported – lends itself to popularism and the chance of candidates without much substance and seeking a higher public profile getting elected. Supports an environment of politicising local government elections.
4.5 Tiered Limits on the Number of Councillors	-The number of councillors (between 5-15 councillors) is decided by each local government, reviewed by the Local Government Advisory Board, and if approved by the MinisterThe Panel Report recommended electoral reforms to improve representativeness.	It is proposed to limit the number of councillors based on the population of the entire local government. Some smaller local governments have already been moving to having smaller councils to reduce costs for ratepayers. The Local Government Panel Report proposed: OFOr a population of up to 5,000 – five councillors (including the President) opopulation of between 5,000 and 75,000 – five to nine councillors (including the Mayor/President) Opopulation of above 75,000 – nine to fifteen councillors (including Mayor).	Not supported – This could lead to elected members being entitled to salaries rather than allowances and may attract candidates who want to get elected for the wrong reasons. It will indirectly give more power to CEO's due to the inevitable consequence of necessitating more delelgation.

4.6 No Wards for Small Councils (Band 3 and 4 Councils only) 4.7 Electoral Reform – Clear Lease	A local government can make an application to be divided into wards, with councillors elected to those wards. Only about 10% of band 3 and 4 local governments currently have wards. A person with a lease in a local government district is	It is proposed that the use of wards for councils in bands 3 and 4 is abolished. Wards increase the complexity of elections, as this requires multiple versions of ballot papers to be prepared for a local government's election. In smaller local governments, the population of wards can be very small. These wards often have councillors elected unopposed, or elect a councillor with a very small number of votes. Some local governments have ward councillors elected with less than 50 votes. There has been a trend in smaller local governments looking to reduce the use of wards, with only 10 councils in bands 3 and 4 still having wards. Reforms are proposed to prevent the use of 'sham leases' in council elections.	Individual local governments should be allowed to decide whether to maintain or abolish wards. Supported – Believed to have
Requirements for Candidate and Voter Eligibility	eligible to nominate as a candidate in that district. A person with a lease in a local government district is eligible to apply to vote in that district. -The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified a number of instances where dubious lease arrangements put to question the validity of candidates in local government elections, and subsequently their legitimacy as councillors	Sham leases are where a person creates a lease only to be able to vote or run as a candidate for council. *The City of Perth Inquiry Report identified sham leases as an issue. *Electoral rules are proposed to be strengthened: A minimum lease period of 12 months will be required for anyone to register a person to vote or run for council. Home based businesses will not be eligible to register a person to vote or run for council, because any residents are already the eligible voter(s) for that address. oClarifying the minimum criteria for leases eligible to register a person to vote or run for council. *The reforms would include minimum lease periods to qualify as a registered business (minimum of 12 months), and the exclusion of home based businesses (where the resident is already eligible) and very small sub-leases. *The basis of eligibility for each candidate (e.g. type of property and suburb of property) is proposed to be published, including in the candidate pack for electors.	more mathematical integrity in establishing voter intent.
4.8 Reform of Candidate Profiles	 Candidate profiles can only be 800 characters, including spaces. This is equivalent to approximately 150 words. 	-Further work will be undertaken to evaluate how longer candidate profiles could be accommodatedLonger candidate profiles would provide more information to electors, potentially through publishing profiles onlineIt is important to have sufficient information available to assist electors make informed decisions when casting their vote.	Supported
4.9 Minor Other Electoral Reforms	Other minor reforms are proposed to improve local government elections.	-Minor other electoral reforms are proposed to include: oThe introduction of standard processes for vote re-counts if there is a very small margin between candidates (e.g. where there is a margin of less than 10 votes a recount will always be required) oThe introduction of more specific rules concerning local government council candidates' use of electoral rolls.	Supported
5.1 Introduce Principles in the Act	Theme 5: Clear Roles and R 'The Act does not currently outline specific principles. 'The Act contains a short "Content and Intent" section only. 'The Panel Report recommended greater articulation of principles	esponsibilities - It is proposed to include new principles in the Act, including: oThe recognition of Aboriginal Western Australians oTiering of local governments (with bands being as assigned by the Salaries and Allowances Tribunal) oCommunity Engagement oFinancial Management.	More information required. Believe that ALL Australians should be afforded the same status regardless of ethenicty.
5.2 Greater Role Clarity	The Act provides for the role of council, councillor, mayor or president and CEO. The role of the council is to: ogovern the local government's affairs obe responsible for the performance of the local government's functions.	-The Local Government Act Review Panel recommended that roles and responsibilities of elected members and senior staff be better defined in law. *It is proposed that these roles and responsibilities are further defined in the legislation. *These proposed roles will be open to further consultation and input. *These roles would be further strengthened through Council Communications Agreements (see item 5.3). 5.2.1 - Mayor or President Role *It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Mayor or President. *While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the Mayor or President is responsible for: oRepresenting and speaking on behalf of the whole council and the local government, at all times being consistent with the resolutions of council of-acilitating the democratic decision-making of council by presiding at council meetings in accordance with the Act oDeveloping and maintaining professional working relationships between councillors and the CEO oPerforming civic and ceremonial duties on behalf of the local government oworking effectively with the CEO and councillors in overseeing the delivery of the services, operations, initiatives and functions of the local government. 5.2.2 - Council Role	Not Supported — Extreme demarcation creates as many problems and personality conflicts as does ambiguity. The majority of Councils and their CEO's operate effectively now. Supported
		-It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of the Council, which is the entity consisting of all of the councillors and led by the Mayor or President.	Supported
		It is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all elected councillors. While imput and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that every elected councillor is responsible for: oConsidering and representing, fairly and without bias, the current and future interests of all people who live, work and visit the district (including for councillors elected for a particular ward) oPostitively and fairly contribute and apply their knowledge, skill, and judgement to the democratic decision-making process of council oApplying relevant law and policy in contributing to the decision-making of the council oEngaging in the effective forward planning and review of the local governments' resources, and the performance of its operations, services, and functions oCommunicating the decisions and resolutions of council to stakeholders and the public oDeveloping and maintaining professional working relationships with all other councillors and the CEO oMaintaining and developing their knowledge and skills relevant to local government oFacilitating public engagement with local government oFacilitating public engagement with local government. It is proposed that elected members should not be able to use their title (e.g. "Councillor", "Mayor", or "President") and associated resources of their office (such as email address) unless they are performing their role in their official capacity.	

	5.2.4 - CEO Role -The Local Government Act 1995 requires local governments to employ a CEO to run the local government administration and implement the decisions of council. -To provide greater clarity, it is proposed to amend the Act to specify the roles and responsibilities of all local government CEOs. -While input and consultation will inform precise wording, it is proposed that the Act is amended to generally outline that the CEO of a local government is responsible for:		Support in-principle.
-The Act provides that council and committee members can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the member in their functionsThe availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments.	In State Government, there are written Communication Agreements between Ministers and agencies that set standards for how information and advice will be provided. It is proposed that local governments will need to have Council Communications Agreements between the council and the CEO. These Council Communication Agreements would clearly specify the information that is to be provided to councillors, how it will be provided, and the timeframes for when it will be provided. A template would be published by DLGSC. This default template will come into force if a council and CEO do not make a specific other agreement within a certain timeframe following any election.		Spport WALGA's position of a consistent regulated Communications Agreement.
Flected members are eligible to receive sitting fees or an annual allowance. *Superannuation is not paid to elected members. However, councillors can currently divert part of their allowances to a superannuation fund. *Councils should be reflective and representative of the people living within the district. Local governments should be empowered to remove any barriers to the participation of gender and age diverse people on councils.	It is proposed that local governments should be able to decide, through a vote of council, to pay superannuation contributions for elected members. These contributions would be additional to existing allowances. -Superannuation is widely recognised as an important entitlement to provide long term financial security. -Other states have already moved to allow councils to make superannuation contributions for councillors. -Allowing council to provide superannuation is important part of encouraging equality for people represented on council — particularly for women and younger people. -Providing superannuation to councillors recognises that the commitment to elected office can reduce a person's opportunity to undertake employment and earn superannuation contributions.		Not supported, hoever if implemented that it be within the allowances cap for elected members.
Local government elected members must complete mandatory training. There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education.	Local governments will have the option of contributing to the education expenses for councillors, up to a defined maximum value, for tution costs for further education that is directly related to their role on council. Councils will be able to decide on a policy for education expenses, up to a maximum yearly value for each councillor. Councils may also decide not to make this entitlement available to elected members. 'Any allowance would only be able to be used for tuition fees for courses, such as training programs, diplomas, and university studies, which relate to local government. 'Where it is made available, this allowance will help councillors further develop skills to assist with making informed decisions on important questions before council, and also provide professional development opportunities for councillors.		Supported
-There is currently no requirement for a formal caretaker period, with individual councils operating under their own policies and proceduresThis is commonly a point of public confusion.	A statewide caretaker period for local governments is proposed. All local governments across the State would have the same clearly defined election period, during which: Councils do not make major decisions with criteria to be developed defining 'major' olincumbent councillors who nominate for re-election are not to represent the local government, act on behalf of the council, or use local government resources to support campaigning activities. There are consistent election conduct rules for all candidates.		Support embargo on major fiancial decisions during caretaker periods.
*The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) is constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. *The Local Government Panel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation.	*The Local Government Panel Report recommended that WALGA not be constituted under the Local Government Act 1995. *Separating WALGA out of the Act will provide clarity that WALGA is not a State Government entity.		WALGA is a service provider and advocate for the sector. It is not a Local Government so difficult to support it being constituted under the LG Act.
			Concern that this would be another bureaucratic process and a further erosion of LG autonomy.
•The financial statements published in the Annual Report is the main financial reporting currently published by local	•The Minister strongly believes in transparency and accountability in local government. The public rightly expects the highest standards of integrity, good		Support reporting requirements being tiered.
governments. Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantle) and small (Sandstone, Wiluna, Dalwallinu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous			
	have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance of the member in their functions. *The availability of information is sometimes a source of conflict within local governments. *Elected members are eligible to receive sitting fees or an annual allowance. *Superannuation is not paid to elected members. However, councillors can currently divert part of their allowances to a superannuation fund. *Councills should be reflective and representative of the people living within the district. Local governments should be empowered to remove any barriers to the participation of gender and age diverse people on councils. *Local government elected members must complete mandatory training. *There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education. *There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education. *There is no specific allowance for undertaking further education. *There because the specific allowance for undertaking further education. *The Local Government Papel Report and the Select Committee Report included this recommendation. *Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment. *Recent amendments introduced provisions to standardise CEO recruitment. *The recruitment of a CEO is a very important decision by a local government. *Theme 6: Improved Financial Manage overnments. *Reporting obligations are the same for large (Stirling, Perth, Fremantie) and small (Sandstone, Willuna, Palwalliniu) local governments, even though they vary significantly in complexity. *The Office of the Auditor General has said that some existing reporting requirements are unnecessary or onerous - for instance, information that is not relevant to certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published or certain local governments, or that is a duplicate of other published propers.	-The Load Government Act 1996 requires local governments to employ a CEO to number be local governments and administration and implement the decisions of council. 10 providing speaker clarity, it is proposed by amend the Act to specify the rotes and evidence of the post of the pos	The Local Coverment Act 1986 requires local poverments to employ a CEO to In this local operation and implement for decisions of council. I responsibilities of all local operations are simple to the local power research of the cancel of the cancel of the cancel of the cancel operation on the cancel operation of the cancel operation on the cancel operation of the cancel operation on the cancel operation of the cancel operation on the cancel of the cancel operation operation of the cancel operation of the cancel operation operation operation operation operation of the cancel operation operation operation operation operation

	I	Tu	
6.2 Simplify Strategic and Financial Planning	Requirements for plans are outlined in the Local Government Financial Management and Administration Regulations. There is also the Integrated Planning and Reporting (IPR) framework. While many councils successfully apply IPR to their budgeting and reporting, IPR may seem complicated or difficult, especially for smaller local governments.	+Having clear information about the finances of local government is an important part of enabling informed public and ratepayer engagement and input to decision-making. +The framework for financial planning should be based around information being clear, transparent, and easy to understand for all ratepayers and members of the public. +In order to provide more consistency and clarity across the State, it is proposed that greater use of templates is introduced to make planning and reporting clearer and simpler, providing greater transparency for ratepayers. -Local governments would be required to adopt a standard set of plans, and there will be templates published by the DLGSC for use or adaption by local governments. -It is proposed that the plans that are required are: -Simplified Council Plans that replace existing Strategic Community Plans and set high-level objectives, with a new plan required at least every eight years. These will be short-form plans, with a template available from the DLGSC -Simplified Asset Management Plans to consistently forecast costs of maintaining the local governments sets. A new plan will be required at least every ten years, though local governments sets. A new plan will be required at least every ten years, though local governments sests. A new plan will be required at least every the local government gains or disposes of major assets (e.g. land, buildings, or roads). A template will be provided, and methods of valuations will be simplified to reduce red tape osimplified Long frem Financial Plans will outline any long term financial management and adots. A template will be provided, and these plans will be required to be reviewed in detail at least every four years -OA new Rates and Revenue Policy (see item 6.3) that identifies the approximate value of rates that will need to be collected in future years (referencing the Asset Management Plan and Long Term Financial Plan) — providing a foreceast to	Support
6.3 Rates and Revenue Policy	-Local governments are not required to have a rates and revenue policySome councils defer rate rises, resulting in the eventual	ratepayers (updated at least every four years) oThe use of simple, one-page Service Proposals and Project Proposals that *The Rates and Revenue Policy is proposed to increase transparency for ratepayers by linking rates to basic operating costs and the minimum costs for maintaining essential infrastructure.	Support in-principle however care would need to be taken as to how depreciation is
	need to drastically raise rates to cover unavoidable costs—especially for the repair of infrastructure.	A Rates and Revenue Policy would be required to provide ratepayers with a forecast of future costs of providing local government services. The Policy would need to reflect the Asset Management Plan and the Long Term Financial Plan (see item 6.2), providing a forecast of what rates would need to be, to cover unavoidable costs. A template would be published for use or adaption by all local governments. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation.	accounted for as this can distor the equation
6.4 Monthly Reporting of Credit Card Statements	No legislative requirement. Disclosure requirements brought in by individual councils have shown significant reduction of expenditure of funds.	The statements of a local government's credit cards used by local government employees will be required to be tabled at council at meetings on a monthly basis. This provides oversight of incidental local government spending.	Support
6.5 Amended Financial Ratios	-Local governments are required to report seven ratios in their annual financial statementsThese are reported on the MyCouncil websiteThese ratios are intended to provide an indication of the financial health of every local government.	-Financial ratios will be reviewed in detail, building on work already underway by the DLGSCThe methods of calculating ratios and indicators will be reviewed to ensure that the results are accurate and useful.	Supported
6.6 Audit Committees	-Local governments must establish an Audit Committee that has three or more persons, with the majority to be council members. -The Audit Committee is to guide and assist the local government in carrying out the local government's functions in relation to audits conducted under the Act. -The Panel Report identified that Audit Committees should be expanded, including to provide improved risk management	•To ensure independent oversight, it is proposed the Chair of any Audit Committee be required to be an independent person who is not on council or an employee of the local government. •Audit Committees would also need to consider proactive risk management. •To reduce costs, it is proposed that local governments should be able to establish shared Regional Audit Committees. •The Committees would be able to include council members but would be required to include a majority of independent members and an independent chairperson.	Not supported – more unnecessary, expensive and time consuming red tape. Additional expense would be disprotionate to the size of many Local Governments.
6.7 Building Upgrade Finance	The local government sector has sought reforms that would enable local governments to provide loans to property owners to finance for building improvements. This is not currently provided for under the Act. The Local Government Panel Report included this recommendation.	Reforms would allow local governments to provide loans to third parties for specific building improvements - such as cladding, heritage and green energy fixtures. This would allow local governments to lend funds to improve buildings within their district. Limits and checks and balances would be established to ensure that financial risks are proactively managed.	Support in-principle.
6.8 Cost of Waste Service to be Specified on Rates Notices	No requirement for separation of waste changes on rates notice. Disclosure will increase ratepayer awareness of waste costs. The Review Panel Report included this recommendation.	-It is proposed that waste charges are required to be separately shown on rate notices (for all properties which receive a waste service)This would provide transparency and awareness of costs for ratepayers.	No objection. Care would need to be taken not to inflate costs attributale to depreciation and also for long term refuse site rehabilitation.