

From: Caroline DAY
To: [Puppy Farming](#)
Date: Tuesday, 19 June 2018 10:06:47 AM

There has been a lot of derogatory propaganda labelling families with two dogs as back yard breeders. My concern is there should be government subsidized sterilising like at Hanley vet Maddington. Derogatory blanket statements by rescue groups and registered breeders who brain wash citizens are designed to be divisive. Please consider adding government funding to support sterilisation programs that supply vouchers for puppies similar to cat legislation. Some breeds may need extra time for sterilisation. With doctor's papers to support individual cases such as "pocket" the ragdoll. Some young litters are dying during sterilisation. And having life threatening issues yrs later.

Sterilization fees need to be within the means of the lower socio economic demographic. Militant and propagandaists are structuring a framework that is short sighted and ineffective. What will a percentage of people do with illegal litters? If they are banned from rehoming them legally? Or bullied on social media do to blanket statements by trolls?

The government agency and dogs West are the ones best equipped to regulate policies and communicate with registered breeder's. Non registered breeders are bound by law to two dogs. Which is good. Though if found to be irresponsible then could be banned by new legislation. I'm good with that. Please visit link attached.

My issue is the age of sterilizing cats and dogs needs to be examined. This is where sterilization vouchers valid for over a year can be sent out with each litter member being rehomed. Hanley vet Maddington run one for cats subsidized by government. Vouchers sent out to addressee could be accessed by Rangers to make sure vouchers are used by required age.

My next email to you will have a link explaining why sterilization vouchers are valid

████████████████████